arXiv:1611.01216v2 [math.GR] 28 Nov 2016

MAXIMAL SUBGROUPS OF GROUPS OF INTERMEDIATE GROWTH

DOMINIK FRANCOEUR AND ALEJANDRA GARRIDO

Abstract. Finding the number of maximal subgroups of infinite index of a finitely generated subgroup is a natural problem that has been solved for several classes of "geometric" groups (linear groups, hyperbolic groups, mapping class groups, etc). Here we provide a solution for a family of groups with a different geometric origin: groups of intermediate growth that act on rooted binary trees. In particular, we show that the non-torsion iterated monodromy groups of the tent map (a special case of some groups first introduced by Šunić in [29] as "siblings of the Grigorchuk group") have exactly countably many maximal subgroups of infinite index. This is in contrast to the torsion case (e.g. Grigorchuk group) where there are no maximal subgroups of infinite index. It is also in contrast to the previously studied groups, where there are either none or uncountably many such subgroups.

Along the way we show that all the groups defined by Šunić have the congruence subgroup property and are just infinite.

1. Introduction

Maximal subgroups (proper subgroups which are maximal with respect to containment) are one of the most basic aspects of a group that one can examine. Their study goes back to the early days of group theory, to Galois and Frattini, and the maximal subgroups of finite groups have been widely studied for a long time. The study of maximal subgroups of infinite groups is more recent and subtle, as maximal subgroups may not even exist. Nevertheless, in a finitely generated group every proper subgroup is contained in a maximal one (a fact that does not require Zorn's lemma, see [24]), so it is very natural to study the maximal subgroups of a finitely generated group.

When one studies groups by means of their actions, the most elementary actions are those on a set, yielding permutation representations. In this setting, maximal subgroups also play an important role as they correspond in a natural way to the irreducible components of a permutation action: the primitive actions. A subgroup $H < G$ is maximal if and only if the action of G on the coset space G/H is primitive. Recall that the action of a group G on a set X containing at least two elements is primitive if it is transitive and leaves no partition of X invariant except for the trivial ones (the whole set and the singletons). Any transitive imprimitive action can be embedded in the wreath product of two simpler actions (the action of the set-wise stabilizer of an element of the partition, and the action on elements of the partition).

Let us denote by $\mathcal{MF}$ the class of groups all of whose maximal subgroups have finite index. Which finitely generated groups are in this class and which are not? In other words, which finitely generated groups have primitive actions only on finite sets? Which have primitive actions on infinite sets?

Date: November 30, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20E08, 20E28.

Key words and phrases. maximal subgroups, groups acting on rooted trees, groups of intermediate growth.

A famous theorem of Margulis and Soifer [21] states that a finitely generated linear group is in $\mathcal{MF}$ if and only if it is virtually solvable. Margulis and Soifer's result was the inspiration for [13], where a characterization of the groups in $\mathcal{MF}$ was obtained for (countable) groups in other geometric settings: subgroups of mapping class groups, word hyperbolic (more generally, convergence) groups, groups acting minimally on non-rooted trees. In all these settings the groups outside $\mathcal{MF}$ contain free subgroups (this is a key ingredient of the proof).

Some examples of groups outside $\mathcal{MF}$ without free subgroups include Thompson's group F [27], Tarski monsters and some Golod–Shafarevich groups (those that map onto a Tarski monster). It should also be noted that it follows from [8, Section 7] that every Golod–Shafarevich group has a p-torsion (where p is a prime) quotient which is Golod–Shafarevich and is in $\mathcal{MF}$.

Note that all cited examples of groups outside $\mathcal{MF}$ are in some sense "big", they are all of exponential growth. Since all groups of polynomial growth are in $\mathcal{MF}$ (by Gromov's theorem), it is reasonable to wonder what happens for groups of intermediate growth. A starting point is to study Grigorchuk's groups of intermediate growth, [15]. This is was done by Pervova in [26] where it was shown that the torsion groups in this family are in $\mathcal{MF}$. Another family of groups that are similar in spirit to Grigorchuk's were defined by Gupta and Sidki in [18]. The aim there was to give uncomplicated examples of finitely generated infinite p-groups and their growth is not yet established. Pervova also showed in [26] that these groups are in $\mathcal{MF}$. This result was extended in [1, 20] to all torsion groups in a generalization of Grigorchuk–Gupta–Sidki groups, so-called multi-edge spinal groups. Moreover, if a group is in $\mathcal{MF}$ then all groups which are abstractly commensurable with it are also in $\mathcal{MF}$ (two groups are abstractly commensurable if they have isomorphic finite index subgroups). Thus, by [17] and [12], all infinite finitely generated subgroups of the Grigorchuk group and the Gupta–Sidki 3-group are in $\mathcal{MF}$.

All groups in the above paragraph are branch groups (see Definition 2.14). Indeed, the definition of branch group is inspired by their properties. Pervova's result prompted the question whether every finitely generated branch group is in $\mathcal{MF}$ (this was problem 6.2 in [14]). This was answered negatively by Bondarenko in [4], by showing that all groups of the type that appear in [25, 28, 30] have a maximal subgroup of infinite index. However, these groups are very special: each level stabilizer decomposes as a direct product of copies of the original group, a property which most branch groups do not enjoy. They are also of exponential growth; in fact, the groups in [30] have non-uniform exponential growth.

Thus, so far no groups of intermediate growth outside $\mathcal{MF}$ have been found and it was explicitly asked in [6, Example 3.10(8))] whether or not they exist. Since Pervova only deals with torsion groups, it is also natural to wonder what happens for the non-torsion examples generalizing Grigorchuk and Gupta–Sidki groups.

We provide an answer to these questions by examining a family of self-similar groups (see Definition 2.1) introduced by Šunić in [29], as "siblings of the first Grigorchuk group". They do not seem to have a name in the literature, so we call them *Šunić groups*. They are all branch groups ([29, Theorem 1]) and we show in Theorem 1.3 that they are just infinite (every proper quotient is finite). Each group is defined by a prime p (the degree of the rooted regular tree on which it acts faithfully) and a monic polynomial with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_p$.

It was later discovered (see [23, Theorem 5.6]) that for $p = 2$, these groups have a nice dynamical characterization: they are all the iterated monodromy groups[1] of the tent map.

Šunić groups can be either torsion or non-torsion. The torsion ones are all of intermediate growth by [3]. The growth of the non-torsion ones is not completely settled but those acting on the binary tree are of intermediate growth (except for the degenerate case of the infinite dihedral group), by arguments very similar to those used by Grigorchuk in [15]. The most well-known example of one of these non-torsion groups of intermediate growth acting on the binary tree is the second self-similar group in the family introduced by Grigorchuk (*ibid.*). Erchsler gave bounds for its growth in [7], which is why this group is sometimes called the Grigorchuk–Erschler group in the literature. The main result in this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a non-torsion Šunić group acting on the binary tree which is not isomorphic to the infinite dihedral group. Then the set of maximal subgroups of G is countably infinite and contains infinitely many finitely generated subgroups of infinite index. Moreover, G has $2^{m+1} - 1$ maximal subgroups of finite index (which are in fact of index 2) where m is the degree of the polynomial defining G.*

The requirement that there be only $\aleph_0$ maximal subgroups seems quite strong. Other examples of finitely generated groups that satisfy it are Tarski monsters and some 3-soluble groups[2] constructed by Hall in [19] and considered by Cornulier in [6].

The theorem is a combination of several results. The number of maximal subgroups of finite index is obtained in Proposition 3.2. Theorem 5.1 yields countably infinitely many finitely generated subgroups of infinite index in G which are contained in different maximal subgroups of infinite index. Theorem 7.11 states that these finitely generated subgroups are actually maximal. (Moreover, we show in Proposition 4.3 that these subgroups are not only isomorphic but in fact conjugate to G in $\operatorname{Aut} T$.) Finally, by Theorem 8.10, there are only countably many maximal subgroups of infinite index in G.

Our approach follows similar principles to those of previous results on maximal subgroups in that it uses the profinite topology. Recall that a subgroup $H \leq G$ is dense in the profinite topology if $HN = G$ for every finite index normal subgroup N of G. A proper subgroup which is dense in the profinite topology must be of infinite index (otherwise $HN = H \neq G$ for some finite index normal subgroup N of G). Since all the groups we consider are finitely generated, any proper dense subgroup is contained in a maximal one, which is a fortiori dense and therefore of infinite index.

Therefore, in order to show that the groups to which the theorem above applies are not in $\mathcal{MF}$, it suffices to find proper subgroups which are dense in the profinite topology. This is a priori quite difficult as we would need to know every finite quotient of the group. However, it turns out that it suffices to consider the obvious finite quotients, those by level stabilizers. A group of rooted tree automorphisms has the *congruence subgroup property* if every finite index subgroup contains some level stabilizer. We prove that, except for the degenerate case of the infinite dihedral group, all Šunić groups (for all primes p) have this property.

[1] This is not used in our proofs so we omit any further reference to iterated monodromy groups in what follows and point the interested reader to [23] and references therein for an introduction to this rich research area between group theory and dynamics.

[2] This fact was pointed out to us by Cornulier.

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a Šunić group which is not the infinite dihedral group. Then G has the congruence subgroup property: every finite index subgroup of G contains some level stabilizer $\mathrm{St}_G(n)$.*

The congruence subgroup property implies that in order to show that $H \leq G$ is dense in the profinite topology it suffices to show that $H\,\mathrm{St}_G(n) = G$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. That is, that H has the same action as G on every level of the tree. We then use Proposition 4.1, which is a nice application of Bézout's Lemma due to P.-H. Leemann, to obtain (in Corollary 4.2) for each prime q different from p a finitely generated subgroup $H(q)$ of G which is dense in the profinite topology. It therefore suffices to show that each $H(q)$ is a proper subgroup. We do this for non-torsion Šunić groups acting on the binary tree in Section 5, obtaining Theorem 5.1, by examining their action on the boundary of the tree. Since each $H(q)$ is contained in a maximal subgroup, and the product of two such subgroups is the whole group, we obtain countably many distinct maximal subgroups of infinite index.

Let us remark that if p is odd, then $H(q)$ is not necessarily a proper subgroup. Indeed, the Fabrykowski–Gupta group [9] is a non-torsion Šunić group without proper dense subgroups in the profinite topology. (The proof of this fact is part of a work in progress and will be written up elsewhere). It thus provides an example of a non-torsion group of intermediate growth in $\mathcal{MF}$.

As a consequence of Theorem 1.2, the non-torsion Šunić groups (the criterion for torsion is given in [29], quoted here as Proposition 2.10), are examples of finitely generated residually finite non-torsion groups all of whose finite quotients are p-groups (i.e. the profinite and pro-p completions coincide). Such examples were also obtained in [11] by showing that all Grigorchuk–Gupta–Sidki groups (except a degenerate example) have the congruence subgroup property.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 moreover yields that all Šunić groups which are not isomorphic to the infinite dihedral group are just infinite. Since the dihedral group is also just infinite we obtain:

Theorem 1.3. *All Šunić groups are just infinite.*

Corollary 1.4. *Let G be as in Theorem 1.1, then G is primitive; that is, the primitive action of G on the cosets of any of its maximal subgroups of infinite index is faithful.*

The theorem also has consequences for the Frattini subgroup. Recall that the Frattini subgroup $\Phi(\Gamma)$ of a group Γ is the intersection of all maximal subgroups of Γ (and defined to be Γ if Γ has no maximal subgroups). It is a characteristic subgroup and coincides with the set of non-generators of Γ; that is, those elements that are redundant in any generating set. Thus the rank of Γ is equal to the rank of $\Gamma/\Phi(\Gamma)$. For instance, if Γ is nilpotent then $\Phi(\Gamma)$ contains the commutator subgroup and so the rank of Γ is the rank of its abelianization. The same holds for the known examples of branch groups in $\mathcal{MF}$: since they are p-groups or have the congruence subgroup property (or both), all maximal subgroups have index p and therefore contain the commutator subgroup. (Indeed, all known examples of branch groups in $\mathcal{MF}$ are in $\mathcal{MN}$, the class of groups all of whose maximal subgroups are normal, see [22].) On the other hand, Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 immediately yield the following.

Corollary 1.5. *The Frattini subgroup of any group as in Theorem 1.1 is trivial.*

In this respect, the groups in question are similar to many of the "geometric" groups studied in [13], which were shown there to be primitive and whose Frattini subgroups have also been shown to be small (see [13] and references therein).

It was shown in [5] that, although some branch groups are in $\mathcal{MF}$, all regular branch groups (see Definition 2.14) contain uncountably many weakly maximal subgroups (subgroups which are maximal with respect to being of infinite index). Since Šunić groups are regular branch, this was the motivation for proving Theorem 8.10 which states that the non-torsion ones acting on the binary tree have at most countably many maximal subgroups of infinite index. Thus there are many more weakly maximal subgroups than maximal ones. An answer to the following questions would be very interesting.

Question 1.6. Do non-torsion Šunić groups acting on the binary tree have only finitely generated maximal subgroups? If so, are all these maximal subgroups conjugates of $H(q)$ for some odd prime q?

Question 1.7. Are all torsion Šunić groups in $\mathcal{MF}$?

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains definitions and basic results on branch groups, self-similar groups and Šunić groups. It also contains a description of all rigid stabilizers of Šunić groups acting on the binary tree. Section 3 is dedicated to the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. In Section 4 we prove Proposition 4.1, define the dense subgroups $H(q)$ and show that they are conjugate to G in $\operatorname{Aut} T$. We restrict to non-torsion Šunić groups acting on the binary tree in Section 5 where we show in Theorem 5.1 that each $H(q)$ for $q \geq 3$ odd is a proper subgroup of G. Section 6 concerns more general self-replicating branch groups and contains a generalization of a result of Pervova, Proposition 6.3. This states that for suitable branch groups acting on the p-regular rooted tree, projections of proper dense subgroups are dense and proper. This is required in Section 7 where we return to the setting of Section 5 and prove Theorem 7.11: each $H(q)$ is maximal. Finally, Section 8 contains the proof of Theorem 8.10: each non-torsion Šunić group acting on the binary tree has at most countably many maximal subgroups of infinite index.

Acknowledgments The main ideas in this paper developed during the course of a reading group on maximal subgroups of branch groups at the University of Geneva, suggested by Tatiana Nagnibeda, while the second author was a postdoc there. We are grateful to the other participants of the reading group, Paul-Henry Leemann and Aitor Pérez for valuable ideas and conversations. We are also grateful to Tatiana Nagnibeda, Pierre de la Harpe and Laurent Bartholdi for useful comments and discussions. Both authors were supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation. The first author is also supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada and the second is supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

2. Preliminaries

For a fixed prime p, let T be the regular rooted tree whose vertices are identified with elements of the monoid X^* consisting of finite words over the alphabet $X = \{0, \dots, p-1\}$, and where two vertices u and v are joined by an edge if $v = ux$ or $u = vx$ for some $x \in X$. For every integer $n \geq 0$, the set L_n of all vertices of length n is called the *nth level* of T. We denote by $\operatorname{Aut} T$ the group of automorphisms of T, by $\operatorname{St}(v)$ the stabilizer of a vertex v and by $\operatorname{St}(n)$ the stabilizer of all vertices in L_n. If G is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut} T$, we define $\operatorname{St}_G(n)$ and $\operatorname{St}_G(v)$ as the intersection with G of the corresponding stabilizer in $\operatorname{Aut} T$.

Since each vertex v of T is the root of a tree T_v which is isomorphic to T, we can define a map $\phi_v : \operatorname{St}(v) \to \operatorname{Aut} T$ sending g to its restriction g_v to T_v. Identifying

T with T_v, we then have an isomorphism

$$\psi_n : \mathrm{St}(n) \to \mathrm{Aut}\, T \times \overset{p^n}{\cdots} \times \mathrm{Aut}\, T$$
$$g \mapsto (g_v)_{v \in L_n}.$$

Note that ψ_0 is just the identity map on $\mathrm{Aut}\, T$. For brevity, we write ψ for ψ_1 and sometimes omit the ψ notation altogether.

Indeed, every automorphism g of T can be uniquely decomposed as

$$g = \sigma(g_0, \ldots, g_{p-1})$$

where $g_0, \ldots, g_{p-1} \in \mathrm{Aut}\, T$ act on the subtrees $T_0, \ldots, T_{p-1}$ and $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}(p)$ is a permutation of $L_1 = X$. We say that the automorphism g is *rooted* if $g = \sigma(1, \ldots, 1)$; that is, g only permutes (rigidly) the subtrees rooted at level 1. Each g_i is the *projection* of g at vertex i. This can be extended to all vertices of T: for a given vertex v, the vertex *projection* of g at v is the restriction g_v of g to the subtree T_v; that is, the unique automorphism such that $g(vw) = g(v)g_v(w)$ for all finite words w over the alphabet. Given $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}\, T$, the vertex projection of G at v is $G_v := \varphi_v(\mathrm{St}_G(v))$.

Notice that for $g \in G \leq \mathrm{Aut}\, T$ the vertex projections of g may not be elements of G.

Definition 2.1. A subgroup $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}\, T$ is *self-similar* if for every vertex v of T, $G_v = \varphi_v(St_G(v)) \leq G$ (where G on the right is considered as a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}\, T_v = \mathrm{Aut}\, T$). It is *self-replicating* if $G_v = G$ for every vertex v.

If G is finitely generated and l is the length function given by the generating set, we say that G is *contracting* if there exist $M, n, l_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$l(g_v) \leq \frac{l(g)}{2} + M$$

for all $v \in L_n$ and $g \in G$ such that $l(g) > l_0$.

Self-similar subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}\, T$ have for many years now provided examples of groups with striking properties. One of the most well-known examples is the first Grigorchuk group [15], whose properties have been generalized in many directions, yielding the notions of branch groups, groups generated by bounded automata, groups with endomorphic presentation, etc. Moreover, it is the first example of a group of intermediate word growth. In fact, Grigorchuk produced in [15] an uncountable family of groups of intermediate growth, each defined by an infinite sequence ω on three symbols. Up to isomorphism, only two of these groups are self-similar, the first Grigorchuk group, which is torsion, and the group studied by Erschler in [7], which is not torsion. In [29], Šunić introduced, for each prime p, self-similar groups which are close generalizations of the first Grigorchuk group (in his words, "siblings of the first Grigorchuk group, not just some distant relatives"). We call each of these examples a *Šunić group*, and recall their definition below (for details see [29, Section 3]). The family of Šunić groups for $p = 2$ includes the two self-similar groups in Grigorchuk's uncountable family mentioned above (see Examples 2.6 and 2.7).

Let p be a prime, and A and B be, respectively, the abelian groups $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ and $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^m$ with multiplicative notation. We may also think of A as the field $\mathbb{F}_p$ of p elements and of B as an m-dimensional vector space over A. Let $\rho : B \to B$ be an automorphism of B and $\omega : B \to A$ a surjective homomorphism. Let $A := \langle a \rangle$ where a acts faithfully on the p-regular rooted tree as the rooted automorphism $\sigma(1, \ldots, 1)$ where $\sigma = (0\ 1\ \ldots\ p-1)$; that is, $a(xv) = \sigma(x)v$ for all $x \in X$ and $v \in X^*$. The action of $b \in B$ on T is recursively defined by $\psi(b) = (\omega(b), 1, \ldots, 1, \rho(b))$.

For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $B_i := \rho^i(\ker(\omega))$.

Definition 2.2. Define the group $G_{\omega,\rho} \leq \operatorname{Aut} T$ by $G_{\omega,\rho} = \langle A \cup B \rangle$ with the actions of A and B described above.

It is easy to see from the definition that each $G_{\omega,\rho}$ is self-similar. A straightforward calculation shows that it is also contracting, satisfying $l(g_v) \leq (l(g)+1)/2$ for every element g and $v \in L_1$.

Proposition 2.3 (Proposition 2 of [29])**.** *The following are equivalent:*

1. *the action of B on T is faithful;*
2. *no non-trivial orbit of ρ is contained in* $\ker(\omega)$*;*
3. *no non-trivial ρ-invariant subspace of B is contained in* $\ker(\omega)$*;*
4. *B is ρ-cyclic, the minimal polynomial f of ρ is $f(x) = x^m + a_{m-1x^{m-1}} + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0$, and there is a basis of B with respect to which the matrices of ρ and ω are given by*

$$M_\rho = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -a_0 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -a_1 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & -a_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -a_{m-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad M_\omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

Since we want to consider subgroups of $\operatorname{Aut} T$, we only consider groups for which the above faithfulness condition holds.

Definition 2.4 (Šunić group)**.** Let p be a prime and $f(x) = x^m + a_{m-1}x^{m-1} + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0$ an invertible polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_p$ (i.e., $a_0 \neq 0$). The group $G_{p,f}$ is the group $G_{\omega,\rho}$ where ω and ρ are given by 1.

In particular, there is a sequence of elements $d_0, d_1, \dots, d_{m-2} \in B_0$ and an element $d_{m-1} \in B_1 \setminus B_0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d_0) &= (1, \dots, 1, d_1) \\ \psi(d_1) &= (1, \dots, 1, d_2) \\ &\vdots \\ \psi(d_{m-2}) &= (1, \dots, 1, d_{m-1}) \\ \psi(d_{m-1}) &= (a, \dots, 1, b') \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $b' = \rho(d_{m-1}) = d_0^{-a_0} d_1^{-a_1} \dots d_{m-1}^{-a_{m-1}}$.

We will tend to omit the ψ notation when there is no risk of confusion.

Note that $B = \langle d_0, \dots, d_{m-1} \rangle$ while $B_0 = \ker(\omega) = \langle d_0, \dots, d_{m-2} \rangle$, $B_1 = \rho(B_0) = \langle d_1, \dots, d_{m-1} \rangle$.

Example 2.5. The group $G_{2,x+1}$ is the infinite dihedral group, generated by a and $b = (a, b)$.

Example 2.6. The group G_{2,x^2+x+1} is the first Grigorchuk group.

Example 2.7. The group G_{2,x^2+1} is the other self-similar group in the uncountable family defined in [15]. It was studied in [7] and it is not torsion. The standard matrices of ρ and ω are

$$M_\rho = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad M_\omega = (0, 1)$$

giving generators $a, d_0 = (1, d_1), d_1 = (a, d_0)$. Notice that $\langle a, d_0 d_1 = (a, d_0 d_1) \rangle$ is isomorphic to the infinite dihedral group.

Example 2.8. The group $G_{3,x-1}$ is the Fabrykowski–Gupta group introduced in [9]. It is generated by a and $b = (a, 1, b)$. It was shown to be of intermediate growth in [10] and [2].

Notation. Denote by $\mathcal{G}_{p,m}$ the family of groups $G_{p,f}$ where f has degree m and by $\mathcal{G}$ the family of all Šunić groups $G_{p,f}$ for all primes p.

Let us collect some useful results about Šunić groups.

Proposition 2.9 (Proposition 3 of [29])**.** *Let f be a monic polynomial, invertible over $\mathbb{F}_p$, which factors as $f = f_1 f_2$, for some non-constant monic polynomials f_1, f_2. Then $G_{p,f_i} \leq G_{p,f}$ for $i = 1, 2$.*

Proposition 2.10 (Proposition 9 of [29])**.** *Let G be a group in $\mathcal{G}_{p,m}$ with $m \geq 2$. The following are equivalent:*

1. *G is a p-group;*
2. *there exists r such that $B_0 \cup B_1 \cup \cdots \cup B_{r-1} = B$;*
3. *every non-trivial ρ-orbit intersects $B_0 = \ker(\omega)$.*

Corollary 2.11. *Let f be a monic polynomial invertible over $\mathbb{F}_2$ and let $G = G_{2,f}$. The following are equivalent :*

1. *G contains an element of infinite order;*
2. *there exists $b \in B$ such that $b = (a, b)$;*
3. *f is divisible by $x + 1$;*
4. *G contains a copy of the dihedral group.*

Proof. (1) implies (2): By Proposition 2.10, since G contains an element of infinite order, there exists an element $b \in B$ such that the ρ-orbit of b does not intersect $B_0 = \ker(\omega)$. Hence, $\omega(\rho^k(b\rho(b))) = \omega(\rho^k(b))\omega(\rho^{k+1}(b)) = a^2 = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By (2) of Proposition 2.3, we get that $\rho(b) = b$, so $b = (a, b)$.

(2) implies (3): Since $b = (a, b)$, we have $\rho(b) = b$, so b is an eigenvector of ρ with eigenvalue 1. It follows that f, the minimal polynomial of ρ is divisible by $x + 1$.

(3) implies (4) is a direct consequence of Proposition 2.9 while (4) trivially implies (1). □

Proposition 2.12 (Proposition 4 of [29])**.** *Let $G \in \mathcal{G}$. The abelianization of G is isomorphic to $A \times B$.*

Proposition 2.13. *Let $G \in \mathcal{G}$. Then:*

1. *The map ψ induces a subdirect embedding of $\mathrm{St}_G(1)$ in $G \times \cdots \times G$.*
2. *G acts transitively on all levels of T.*

The next proposition shows that every $G \in \mathcal{G} \setminus G_{2,x+1}$ is a regular branch group, which will be fundamental for the proof that they have the congruence subgroup property. Let us recall first the definitions of branch group and regular branch group.

Definition 2.14. For an arbitrary subgroup $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}\, T$, the image $\psi_n(\mathrm{St}_G(n)) \leq \mathrm{Aut}\, T \times \cdots \times \mathrm{Aut}\, T$ need not be a direct product. Define $\mathrm{rist}_G(n)$, the rigid stabilizer in G of L_n, as the largest subgroup of $\mathrm{St}_G(n)$ which maps onto a direct product under ψ_n. We have

$$\mathrm{rist}_G(n) = \prod_{v \in L_n} \mathrm{rist}_G(v)$$

where $\mathrm{rist}_G(v)$ is the subgroup of all $g \in \mathrm{St}_G(n)$ such that $\psi_n(g)$ has all coordinates equal to 1 except, possibly, at position v. If G acts transitively on all levels of T, we say that G is a branch group if $|G : \mathrm{rist}_G(n)| < \infty$ for all n. Branch groups can be

more generally defined when the rooted tree T is not regular but level-homogeneous (see [16, Section 5]).

We say that a self-similar $G \leq \operatorname{Aut} T$ is a regular branch group (over a subgroup K) if it acts transitively on all levels of T and there exists a subgroup $K \leq G$ of finite index such that $\psi(K) \geq K \times \cdots \times K$. In particular, this implies that a regular branch group is a branch group.

Proposition 2.15 (Lemmas 1 and 6 of [29])**.**

(1) *Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{p,m}$ where $p \geq 3$. Then G is regular branch over its commutator subgroup G'.*
(2) *Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{2,m}$ where $m \geq 2$. Then G is regular branch over the subgroup*

$$K := \langle [a, b] \mid b \in B_1 \rangle^G.$$

The following lemma will be useful at several points in the paper.

Lemma 2.16 (Lemma 7 of [29])**.** *Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{2,m}$ with $m \geq 2$. There is an element $c \in B_{-1} \setminus B_0$ and $d \in B_0 \setminus B_1$ such that $c = (a, d)$ and*

$$\psi(\operatorname{St}_G(1)) = \hat{C} \ltimes (\overline{B_1} \times \overline{B_1})$$

where $\hat{C} = \langle (a, d), (d, a) \rangle$ is a diagonal subgroup of $\langle a, d \rangle \times \langle a, d \rangle$ and $\overline{B_1}$ denotes the normal closure of B_1 in G.

We mentioned in the Introduction that we are not providing the first examples of finitely generated branch groups which are not in $\mathcal{MF}$. The first examples were found by Bondarenko in [4], using similar constructions to those in [25, 28, 30]. In these examples, the existence of a maximal subgroup of infinite index is guaranteed by the fact that the subgroup of finitary automorphisms is proper and dense in the given branch group. A *finitary* automorphism is one with only finitely many non-trivial projections. Its *depth* is the first level at which all its projections are trivial. By contrast, the subgroup of finitary automorphisms of a group in $\mathcal{G}_{2,m}$ is very small indeed.

Proposition 2.17. *Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{2,m}$. Then $A = \langle a \rangle$ is the subgroup of finitary automorphisms of G.*

Proof. We will proceed by induction on the depth of a finitary automorphism of G. Let $g \in G$ be a finitary automorphism with depth 1. Then, $g = a^k(1, 1)$, with $k \in \{0, 1\}$. Hence, $g \in A$.

Now, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that every finitary automorphism of G of depth n is in A and let $g \in G$ be a finitary automorphism of depth $n + 1$. This automorphism can be written as $g = a^k(g_0, g_1)$, with g_0, g_1 finitary automorphisms of depth n. Hence, by hypothesis, $g_0, g_1 \in A$. Therefore, it suffices to show that $\psi(\operatorname{St}_G(1)) \cap (A \times A) = \{1\}$.

Since $\psi(\operatorname{St}_G(1)') \leq G' \times G'$, we have a homomorphism

$$f \colon \operatorname{St}_G(1)/\operatorname{St}_G(1)' \to G/G' \times G/G'.$$

Any element $g \operatorname{St}_G(1)'$ of $\operatorname{St}_G(1)/\operatorname{St}_G(1)'$ can be written as $bc^a \operatorname{St}_G(1)'$ for some $b, c \in B$. Hence,

$$f(g \operatorname{St}_G(1)') = (\omega(b)\rho(c)G', \rho(b)\omega(c)G').$$

If $g \in \operatorname{St}_G(1)$ is such that $\psi(g) \in A \times A$, then $f(g \operatorname{St}_G(1)') \in \langle aG' \rangle \times \langle aG' \rangle$, which implies that $\rho(c) = 1$ and $\rho(b) = 1$. Therefore, $\psi(g) \in (G' \times G') \cap (A \times A) = \{1\} \times \{1\}$, so $g = 1$. □

The fact that the finitary automorphisms form a dense subgroup in the examples considered by Bondarenko is a consequence of the fact that $\varphi_v(\operatorname{rist}_G(v)) = G$ for every $v \in T$ where G is one of these examples. Of course, this condition does not

hold for Šunić groups (this would contradict the previous result), but it will be useful later on to know precisely what the rigid vertex stabilizers are.

Proposition 2.18. *Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{2,m}$ and denote by $\overline{B_1}$ the normal closure of B_1 in G. Then*

(1) $\varphi_v(\mathrm{rist}_G(v)) = \overline{B_1}$ *for* $v \in L_1$
(2) $\varphi_v(\mathrm{rist}_G(v)) = \varphi_v(\mathrm{rist}_K(v)) = K$ *for any* $v \in L_n$ *with* $n \geq m$.

Proof. (1) If $b \in B_0$ then $\psi(b) = (1, \rho(b))$ so, since $\psi(\mathrm{St}_G(1))$ is subdirect in $G \times G$ we have $\varphi_v(\mathrm{rist}_G(v)) \geq \varphi_v(\langle B_0 \rangle^{\mathrm{St}(1)}) = \overline{B_1}$. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.16, $\psi(\mathrm{St}_G(1))/(\overline{B_1} \times \overline{B_1}) \cong \hat{C}$ and this group cannot act trivially on one subtree without acting trivially on the other. The claim follows.

(2) The fact that G is regular branch over K implies that $\varphi_v(\mathrm{rist}_K(v)) \geq K$ for every $v \in T$. Put $K_n = (B_1 \cap \cdots \cap B_n) \ltimes K$ for $n \geq 1$ (and $K_0 = G$). We claim that $\varphi_{1^n}(\mathrm{rist}_G(1^n)) = \varphi_1(\mathrm{rist}_{K_{n-1}}(1)) = K_n$ for every $n \geq 1$. The case $n = 1$ was shown in the previous item. Let $n \geq 2$ and suppose the claim true for $n-1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{1^n}(\mathrm{rist}_G(1^n)) &= \varphi_1(\mathrm{rist}_G(1) \cap \varphi_{1^{n-1}}(\mathrm{rist}_G(1^{n-1}))) \\ &= \varphi_1(\mathrm{rist}_G(1) \cap K_{n-1}) = \varphi_1(\mathrm{rist}_{K_{n-1}}(1))\end{aligned}$$

by inductive hypothesis. So we must show that $\varphi_1(\mathrm{rist}_{K_{n-1}}(1)) = K_n$. We start by showing that

$$\varphi_1(\mathrm{rist}_{K_{n-1}}(1)) \cap (B_1 \cap \cdots \cap B_{n-1}) = B_1 \cap \cdots \cap B_n.$$

First note that if $b \in B_1 \cap \cdots \cap B_n$ then $\rho^{-1}(b) \in B_0 \cap \cdots \cap B_{n-1} \leq \mathrm{rist}_{K_{n-1}}(1)$. For the other inclusion, let $b \in (B_1 \cap \cdots \cap B_{n-1}) \setminus B_n$; then

$$\rho^{-1}(b) \in (B_0 \cap \cdots \cap B_{n-2}) \setminus B_{n-1},$$

so $\rho^{-1}(b) \notin K_{n-1}$ and so $b \notin \varphi_1(\mathrm{rist}_{K_{n-1}}(1))$. Now, $K_{n-1} \geq K$ so

$$\varphi_1(\mathrm{rist}_{K_{n-1}}(1)) \geq \varphi_1(\mathrm{rist}_K(1)) \geq K.$$

On the other hand, $K_{n-1} = \varphi_1(\mathrm{rist}_{K_{n-2}}(1)) \geq \varphi_1(\mathrm{rist}_{K_{n-1}}(1))$, by inductive hypothesis. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_1(\mathrm{rist}_{K_{n-1}}(1)) &= (\varphi_1(\mathrm{rist}_{K_{n-1}}(1)) \cap B_1 \cap \cdots \cap B_{n-1}) \ltimes (\varphi_1(\mathrm{rist}_{K_{n-1}}(1)) \cap K) \\ &= (B_1 \cap \cdots \cap B_n) \ltimes K = K_n.\end{aligned}$$

The claim then follows by induction.

Since each B_i has codimension 1 in B, the intersection $B_1 \cap \cdots \cap B_m$ is trivial. Hence $\varphi_{1^m}(\mathrm{rist}_G(1^m)) = (B_1 \cap \cdots \cap B_m) \ltimes K = K$ and the second item follows. □

3. The congruence subgroup property

This section contains the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. In fact, both theorems follow from the same fact. The main idea is explained in the following remark.

Remark 3.1. It is implicit in the proof of [16, Theorem 4] that if $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}\, T$ is transitive on every level then every non-trivial normal subgroup of G contains $\mathrm{rist}_G(n)'$ for some n. If G contains a non-trivial subgroup K such that $\psi(K) \geq K \times \cdots \times K$ (that is, G is weakly regular branch over K), then $K \leq \mathrm{rist}_G(v)$ for each $v \in T$. Thus if K' contains some level stabilizer $\mathrm{St}_G(m)$ then for every non-trivial normal subgroup N of G there exists some n such that

$$\begin{aligned}N &\geq \mathrm{rist}_G(n)' \geq \psi_n^{-1}(K' \times \cdots \times K') \\ &\geq \psi_n^{-1}(\mathrm{St}_G(m) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{St}_G(m)) = \mathrm{St}_G(m+n).\end{aligned}$$

In particular, G is just infinite and has the congruence subgroup property.

In view of this and Proposition 2.15, Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 will follow once we show that for $G \in \mathcal{G}_{p,m}$, G'' (if $p \geq 3$) or K' (if $p = 2$) contains some level stabilizer.

Before delving into the proofs of these facts, let us remark the following consequence of Theorem 1.2 for the maximal subgroups of Šunić groups.

Proposition 3.2. *Let G be a Šunić group acting on the p-regular tree and with defining polynomial of degree m. Then G has $p^{m+1} - 1$ maximal subgroups of finite index and they are all of index p.*

Proof. If G is the infinite dihedral group, the result is well known. Suppose then that G is not the infinite dihedral group and let M be a maximal subgroup of finite index in G. By Theorem 1.2, M contains some level stabilizer $\mathrm{St}_G(n)$. Since the quotient $G/\mathrm{St}_G(n)$ is a p-group, the image of M is normal of index p and therefore the same holds for M. In particular, $G' \leq M$. Now, G/G' is an elementary abelian p-group of rank $m+1$ and so the number of its maximal subgroups is $p^{m+1} - 1$. The result follows. □

3.1. **Odd prime case.** In this subsection, G will denote a group in $\mathcal{G}_{p,m}$ where p is an odd prime and $m \geq 1$. The proof that the second derived subgroup G'' of G contains some level stabilizer is split into two lemmas.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{p,m}$ where p is an odd prime, then*

$$\psi(G'') \geq \gamma_3(G) \times \overset{p}{\cdots} \times \gamma_3(G)$$

where $\gamma_3(G)$ is the third term in the lower central series of G.

Proof. We first show that $\psi(G') \leq G \times \overset{p}{\cdots} \times G$ is subdirect. For any $b \in B$, we have $\psi([a^{-1}, b]) = (\rho(b^{-1})\omega(b), \omega(b^{-1}), 1, \ldots, 1, \rho(b))$. Since ρ is an automorphism of B, we can obtain all generators of B in the last coordinate of $\psi(G')$. Also, since there exists $b \in B$ such that $\omega(b) = a^{-1}$, we can obtain a in the last coordinate of $\psi(G')$, by taking $[a^{-1}, b]^{a^2}$. Thus $\psi(G') \leq G \times \overset{p}{\cdots} \times G$ maps onto G in the last coordinate (and in all the others, by conjugating by suitable powers of a).

The result follows from the above and the fact that $\psi(G') \geq G' \times \overset{p}{\cdots} \times G'$. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{p,m}$ where p is an odd prime. The second derived subgroup G'' of G contains the stabilizer $\mathrm{St}_G(m+3)$.*

Proof. We start by showing that $\psi(\gamma_3(G)) \geq G' \times \overset{p}{\cdots} \times G'$. Let $b \in B$ and $c \in B$ such that $\omega(c) = a$. Then $x := [[c, a], \rho^{-1}(b)] \in \gamma_3(G)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(x) &= \psi([a^{-1}ca, \rho^{-1}(b)]) \\ &= ([1, \omega(\rho^{-1}(b)], 1, \ldots, 1, [\rho(c), 1], [\omega(c), b]) \\ &= (1, \ldots, 1, 1, [a, b]).\end{aligned}$$

Since $G' = \langle [a, b] \mid, b \in B \rangle^G$, and $\varphi_{p-1}(\mathrm{St}_G(v_p)) = G$, we can conjugate $\gamma_3(G)$ by elements in $\mathrm{St}_G(v_{p-1})$ to obtain that $\gamma_3(G) \geq 1 \times \cdots \times 1 \times G'$. Conjugating by suitable powers of a we conclude that $\psi(\gamma_3(G)) \geq G' \times \overset{p}{\cdots} \times G'$, as required.

It was shown in [29, Lemma 9] that G' contains $\mathrm{St}_G(m+1)$. We therefore have, by Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_2(G'') &\geq \psi(\gamma_3(G)) \times \overset{p}{\cdots} \times \psi(\gamma_3(G)) \\ &\geq G' \times \overset{p^2}{\cdots} \times G' \\ &\geq \mathrm{St}_G(m+1) \times \overset{p^2}{\cdots} \times \mathrm{St}_G(m+1) = \psi_2(\mathrm{St}_G(m+3)).\end{aligned}$$

The claim then follows as ψ_2 is injective. □

3.2. **Even prime case.** Here we must restrict our attention to groups with defining polynomials of degree $m \geq 2$ since the linear polynomial yields the infinite dihedral group, which is virtually $\mathbb{Z}$ and therefore cannot be a branch group, so our proof has no hope of working. Moreover, the dihedral group is just infinite, but does not have the congruence subgroup property when viewed as a group of automorphisms of the binary tree because it has cyclic quotients of any order.

Thus in this subsection G will denote a group in $\mathcal{G}_{2,m}$ with $m \geq 2$. We will show that K' contains some level stabilizer in two steps. Recall that K_v denotes $\varphi_v(\mathrm{St}_K(v))$ for a vertex $v \in T$ and that v is thought of as a word in $\{0,1\}^*$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{2,m}$ with $m \geq 2$. There exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K_v \geq \langle a, B_0\rangle$ where $v = 1^n = \underbrace{1\ldots1}_{n}$.*

Proof. Since $K = \langle [a,b_1],\ldots,[a,b_{m-1}]\rangle^G$ it suffices to examine the projections of $[a,b_i]$ for $i = 1,\ldots,m-1$. We have

$$\psi([a,b_i]) = \begin{cases} (b_{i+1}, b_{i+1}) & \text{if } i = 1,\ldots,m-2 \\ (\rho(b_{m-1})a, a\rho(b_{m-1})) & \text{if } i = m-1. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\psi_2([a,b_i]) = \begin{cases} ((1,b_{i+2}),(1,b_{i+2})) & \text{if } i = 1,\ldots,m-3 \\ ((a,\rho(b_{m-1})),(a,\rho(b_{m-1}))) & \text{if } i = m-2 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\psi_2([a,b_{m-1}]^2) = ((a\rho^2(b_{m-1}), \rho^2(b_{m-1})a), (\rho^2(b_{m-1})a, a\rho^2(b_{m-1})))$$

so K_{11} contains $b_3,\ldots,b_{m-1},\rho(b_{m-1}),a\rho^2(b_{m-1})$.

Case $m > 2$: Put $x := [a,b_{m-2}]^{[a,b_{m-1}]}$ so that

$$\psi_2(x^a) = ((\omega(\rho(b_{m-1}))\rho(b_{m-1})(\omega(\rho(b_{m-1})), \rho^2(b_{m-1})a\rho^2(b_{m-1})), (\rho(b_{m-1}), a)).$$

Hence K_{11} contains $a, b_3,\ldots,b_{m-1},\rho(b_{m-1}),\rho^2(b_{m-1})$ and therefore $\langle a,\rho^2(B_0)\rangle$. Moreover, $\rho^n(B_0) \leq K_{1^n}$ for every n (here 1^n denotes 1 concatenated n times). Now, since $\rho^2(B_0) \neq B_0$, there is some $y \in \rho^2(B_0)\setminus B_0$ and so, by conjugating y by a we obtain that $a \in K_{111}$ and therefore $\langle a,\rho^3(B_0)\rangle \leq K_{111}$. Since ρ is cyclic, we may repeat the above procedure until we reach the first n such that $\rho^n(B_0) = B_0$ at which point we have $\langle a,\rho^n(B_0)\rangle = \langle a,B_0\rangle \leq K_{1^n}$.

Case $m = 2$: This case includes only the Grigorchuk–Erschler (with polynomial x^2+1) and Grigorchuk (with polynomial x^2+x+1) groups. First note that $K = \langle [a,b_1]\rangle^G$ and that for each $n \geq 1$ we have $[a,b_1]^{2^n} = ([\rho(b_1),a]^{2^{n-1}}, [a,\rho(b_1)]^{2^{n-1}})$. Let k be the smallest integer such that $\rho^k(b_1) = b_0$. Then

$$\psi_k([a,b_1]^{2^{k+1}}) = (\ldots,[a,b_0]^2) \text{ so } \psi_{k+1}([a,b_1]^{2^{k+1}}) = (b_1,\ldots,b_1).$$

Hence $b_0 = \varphi_v([a,b_1]^{2^{k+1}})$ where $v = 1^{2k+1}$. Suppose there exists $g \in G$ which maps $u = 1^{2k}0$ to v and such that $\varphi_v(g) = 1$. Then, since $a = \varphi_u([a,b_1]^{2^{k+1}})$, writing $h = [a,b_1]^{2^{k+1}}$ we have $\varphi_v(h^g) = \varphi_u(h)^{\varphi_v(g)} = a$. Thus $K_v \geq \langle a,b_0\rangle$ as K is normal in G.

Now, for the Grigorchuk–Erschler group, $k = 1$ and we may take $g = b_0^{b_1^a}$. For the Grigorchuk group, $k = 2$ and we take $g = b_1^{b_0^f}$ where $f = b_1^{b_1^a}$. □

Lemma 3.6. *Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{2,m}$ with $m \geq 2$. The derived subgroup K' of $K \leq G$ contains $\mathrm{St}_G(n+m+2)$ where n is as in Lemma 3.5.*

Proof. We first show that $\psi([K, \langle a, B_0\rangle]) \geq K \times K$. Let $b \in B_1$, then putting

$$x := [[b_{m-1}, a], \rho^{-1}(b)] \in [K, \langle a, B_0\rangle]$$

yields $\psi(x) = (1, [a, b])$. Thus

$$\psi([K, \langle a, B_0\rangle]) \geq 1 \times K.$$

Since a normalizes $[K, \langle a, B_0\rangle]$, we also have $\psi([K, \langle a, B_0\rangle]) \geq K \times 1$, which proves our claim.

By Lemma 3.5, there exists n such that $K_v \geq \langle a, B_0\rangle$ where $v = 1^n$. The fact that G is regular branch over K implies that

$$\psi_n(K \cap \mathrm{St}_G(n)) \geq 1 \times \cdots \times 1 \times K$$

where there are 2^n factors in the direct product. Thus,

$$\psi_n(K' \cap \mathrm{St}_G(n)) \geq 1 \times \cdots \times 1 \times [K, \langle a, B_0\rangle].$$

This together with the first claim implies that

$$\psi_{n+1}(K' \cap \mathrm{St}_G(n+1)) \geq 1 \times \cdots \times 1 \times K \times K$$

where there are 2^{n+1} factors in the product. Since $K' \cap \mathrm{St}_G(n+1)$ is normal in G, which acts transitively on the $(n+1)$th level, we obtain that $\psi_{n+1}(K' \cap \mathrm{St}_G(n+1))$ contains a direct product of 2^{n+1} conjugates of K which are actually just K. Lemma 9 of [29] states that $\mathrm{St}_G(m+1) \leq K$, so

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{n+1}(K' \cap \mathrm{St}_G(n+1)) &\geq \mathrm{St}_G(m+1) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{St}_G(m+1) \\ &= \psi_{n+1}(\mathrm{St}_G(n+m+2)).\end{aligned}$$

This yields that $K' \geq \mathrm{St}_G(n+m+2)$, because ψ_{n+1} is injective. □

4. Dense subgroups in the Aut T topology

The following is due to P.-H. Leemann. It gives a method for finding dense subgroups of a countable group in the $\mathrm{Aut}\, T$ topology.

Proposition 4.1. *Let T be the d-regular rooted tree for some $d \geq 2$. If $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}\, T$ is countably generated by $g_1, g_2, \ldots$ then for any $m_1, m_2, \cdots \in \mathbb{N}$ coprime with $d!$, the subgroup $H := \langle g_1^{m_1}, g_2^{m_2}, \ldots\rangle$ is a dense subgroup of G with respect to the $\mathrm{Aut}\, T$ topology.*

Proof. Let $g \in \mathrm{Aut}\, T$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ coprime with $d!$ the Euclidean algorithm yields $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x(d!)^n + ym = 1$. Thus we can write $g = (g^{(d!)^n})^x (g^m)^y$. Since $\mathrm{Aut}\, T/\mathrm{St}(n) \cong \mathrm{Sym}(d) \wr \cdots \wr \mathrm{Sym}(d)$ (n times) we have that $g^{(d!)^n} \in \mathrm{St}(n)$ so $g\,\mathrm{St}(n) = (g^m)^y\,\mathrm{St}(n)$ and therefore $\langle g\rangle\,\mathrm{St}(n) = \langle g^m\rangle\,\mathrm{St}(n)$. This implies that $\langle g_1, g_2, \ldots,\rangle\,\mathrm{St}(n) = \langle g_1^{m_1}, g_2^{m_2}, \ldots\rangle\,\mathrm{St}(n)$ for every n and so H is dense in G with respect to the $\mathrm{Aut}\, T$ topology. □

Corollary 4.2. *If $G = \langle a, B\rangle \in \mathcal{G}\backslash G_{2,1}$ then, for any q coprime to p and any $b \in B$, the subgroup $H(q) = \langle (ab)^q, B\rangle$ is dense in G for the $\mathrm{Aut}\, T$ topology. Since G has the congruence subgroup property, the $\mathrm{Aut}\, T$ topology coincides with the profinite topology, so $H(q)$ is dense with respect to the profinite topology.*

Of course, $H(q)$ could well be the whole of G (this will indeed be the case for the Grigorchuk group for example, by Pervova's result [26]). We will show in the next section that for every non-torsion $G \in \mathcal{G}_{2,m}$ where $m \geq 2$, each $H(q)$ is in fact a proper subgroup of G when we take b to be the generator such that $b = (a, b)$. This makes the next proposition more interesting.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{2,m}$ be a non-torsion Šunić group acting on the binary tree and $q \geq 3$ be an odd number. Let $b \in B$ such that $\psi(b) = (a, b)$. The subgroup $H(q) = \langle (ab)^q, B \rangle$ is conjugate to G in $\mathrm{Aut}(T)$.*

Proof. The existence of b as in the statement is ensured by Corollary 2.11. Let $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(T)$ be defined by $\psi(g) = ((ba)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}g, 1, \dots, 1, g)$. We have

$$g^{-1}(ab)^q bg = g^{-1}(ab)^{q-1}agaa$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(g^{-1}(ab)^{q-1}aga) &= \psi(g^{-1})\psi((abab)^{\frac{q-1}{2}})\psi(aga)\\ &= (g^{-1}(ab)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}, g^{-1})((ba)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}, (ab)^{\frac{q-1}{2}})(g, (ba)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}g)\\ &= (1, 1).\end{aligned}$$

Since ψ is injective, this means that $g^{-1}(ab)^q bg = a$.

Now, let $x \in B$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(g^{-1}xg) &= (g^{-1}(ab)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}, g^{-1})(\omega(x), \rho(x))((ba)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}g, g)\\ &= (g^{-1}(ab)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}\omega(x)(ba)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}g, g^{-1}\rho(x)g)\\ &= (\omega(x), g^{-1}\rho(x)g).\end{aligned}$$

Indeed, if $\omega(x) = 1$, then $g^{-1}(ab)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}\omega(x)(ba)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}g = 1$, and if $\omega(x) = a$, then

$$g^{-1}(ab)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}\omega(x)(ba)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}g = g^{-1}(ab)^q bg = a = \omega(x).$$

This implies that the action of $g^{-1}xg$ on T_2 is the same as the action of x, so $g^{-1}xg = x$. Since H is generated by $(ab)^q b$ and B, we conclude that $g^{-1}Hg = G$. □

In fact this also shows that $H(q)$ is dense in G: it suffices to show that $\frac{H(q)\,\mathrm{St}(n)}{\mathrm{St}(n)} = \frac{G\,\mathrm{St}(n)}{\mathrm{St}(n)}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Fixing $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let us use $\bar{}$ to denote the images modulo $\mathrm{St}(n)$. Then $\overline{G} = \overline{H^g} = \overline{H}^{\overline{g}} \leq \overline{G}^{\overline{g}}$. Since these quotients are finite, we have equality throughout in this expression and therefore $\overline{G} = \overline{H}$, as required.

5. Proper dense subgroups

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_{2,m}$ denote the non-torsion groups in $\mathcal{G}_{2,m}$. By Corollary 2.11, this is precisely the family of groups in $\mathcal{G}_{2,m}$ which contain a copy of the infinite dihedral group generated by a and $b = (a, b) \in B$. The main result in this section is

Theorem 5.1. *Let $G \in \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_{2,m}$ with $m \geq 2$. Then for each odd $q \in \mathbb{N}$, the subgroup $H(q) := \langle (ab)^q, B \rangle$ is proper and dense in the profinite topology.*

Notice that if two odd numbers $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ are relatively prime, then $H(q_1)$ and $H(q_2)$ are contained in different maximal subgroups (necessarily of infinite index, since $H(q_1)$ and $H(q_2)$ are dense). Indeed, if they were contained in the same maximal subgroup M, this M would contain ab and B, so we would have $M = G$, a contradiction. We have just proved:

Corollary 5.2. *Let $G \in \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_{2,m}$ with $m \geq 2$. Then G contains at least countably many maximal subgroups of infinite index.*

The main idea of the proof of the theorem is as follows: we have already seen in the last section that $H(q)$ is a dense subgroup of G, so it suffices to show that it is proper, indeed that it does not contain ab. This will be done by comparing the actions of G and $H(q)$ on the boundary ∂T of the tree; that is, by comparing the Schreier (orbital) graphs $G/\mathrm{St}_G(\xi)$ and $H(q)/\mathrm{St}_{H(q)}(\xi)$ for $\xi \in \partial T$ and proving

that no word in the generators of $H(q)$ can produce the same action as ab on ∂T. In fact, it will suffice to do this for a particular point $\xi \in \partial T$, the ray labeled by $\tilde{1} = 1^\infty$, an infinite string of 1s.

Recall that the binary tree can be seen as the set $\{0,1\}^*$ of finite words over $\{0,1\}$. The action of G on $\{0,1\}^*$ is described in terms of the action of the generators. Let $s \in \{0,1\}^*$ and $s_1, s_2 \in \{0,1\}$. The action of $a \in G$ is given by

$$a \cdot s_1 s = (s_1 + 1)s$$

where the sum is taken modulo 2. The action of $x \in B$ is recursively defined by $x(s_1) = s_1$ and

$$x \cdot s_1 s_2 s = \begin{cases} 0 s_2 s & \text{if } s_1 = 0 \text{ and } \omega(x) = 1 \\ 0(s_2 + 1)s & \text{if } s_1 = 0 \text{ and } \omega(x) = a \\ 1\rho(x)(s_1 s) & \text{if } s_1 = 1 \end{cases}$$

where ω and ρ are as in definition 2.4 and the sum is again taken modulo 2.

The boundary of the tree ∂T can be identified with the set $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ of right-infinite strings of 0s and 1s. The action of G on T can naturally be extended to an action of G on ∂T by taking $s \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ in the above definition.

Let us make some useful remarks regarding the action of G on ∂T.

Remark 5.3. Let $b = (a, b) \in G$. Then, for $s \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, it follows from the definition that $b \cdot s$ is the string obtained by adding 1 (modulo 2) to the element immediately following the first 0 in the sequence.

Remark 5.4. For $x \in B$ and $s \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, either $x \cdot s = s$ or $x \cdot s = b \cdot s$. It follows that for any $\xi \in \partial T$, the orbit of ξ under the action of G is the same as the orbit of ξ under the action of $\langle a, b\rangle \leq G$.

The action of G on ∂T cannot be transitive, since G is finitely generated and ∂T is uncountable. We will therefore restrict our attention to the action of G on the orbit of $\tilde{1} := 1^\infty \in \partial T$, the infinite string consisting of only 1s. According to Remark 5.4, this orbit is the same as the orbit of $\tilde{1}$ under $\langle a, b\rangle \cong D_\infty$. Let us study the orbital graph of the action of $\langle a, b\rangle$ on this orbit.

Proposition 5.5. *The orbital graph of the action of $\langle a, b\rangle$ on the orbit of $\tilde{1}$ is a half-line with a loop labeled by b at $\tilde{1}$.*



Proof. Since $\langle a, b\rangle$ is generated by two elements of order 2, the orbital graph must be a connected graph where every vertex has degree 2 (where a loop adds only 1 to the degree of the vertex). Hence, there are only four possibilities : the graph is either a circle, a line, a segment or a half-line.

It follows from the definition of the action that $b \cdot s \neq s$ for all $s \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ containing at least one 0 (see Remark 5.3) and that $a \cdot s \neq s$ for all $s \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Hence, b has exactly one fixed point, $\tilde{1}$, and a has none. Therefore, by degree considerations, the only possible orbital graph of the action of $\langle a, b\rangle$ on the orbit of $\tilde{1}$ must be a half-line with a loop at $\tilde{1}$ labeled by b.

□

Proposition 5.5 allows us to define a bijection between the orbit of $\tilde{1}$ and $\mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 5.6. *There is a bijection ζ between $\mathbb{Z}$ and the orbit $G \cdot \tilde{1}$ of $\tilde{1}$ under G given by $\zeta(n) = (ab)^n \cdot \tilde{1}$.*

Proof. According to Remark 5.4, for every $\xi \in G \cdot \tilde{1}$, there exists $g \in \langle a, b\rangle$ such that $\xi = g \cdot \tilde{1}$. Since $\langle a, b\rangle$ is isomorphic to the infinite dihedral group, there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \in \{0, 1\}$ such that $g = (ab)^n b^m$. Since $b \cdot \tilde{1} = \tilde{1}$, we conclude that ζ is surjective.

Injectivity follows from Proposition 5.5. Indeed, it follows from the structure of the orbital graph (see the figure in that proposition) that $(ab)^n \cdot \tilde{1}$ is the vertex at distance $2n - 1$ from $\tilde{1}$ if $n > 0$ and at distance $2n$ if $n \leq 0$. □

The bijection ζ allows us to define an action of G on $\mathbb{Z}$. It turns out that the restriction of this action to $\langle a, b\rangle$ is the standard action of D_∞ on $\mathbb{Z}$. This will allow us to prove Theorem 5.1.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Let $\zeta\colon \mathbb{Z} \to G \cdot \tilde{1}$ be the map from Proposition 5.6. Then, G acts on $\mathbb{Z}$ by

$$g \cdot n = \zeta^{-1}(g \cdot \zeta(n))$$

for all $g \in G$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular,

$$(ab)^q \cdot n = \zeta^{-1}((ab)^{q+n} \cdot \tilde{1}) = q + n$$

and

$$b \cdot n = \zeta^{-1}(b(ab)^n \cdot \tilde{1}) = \zeta^{-1}((ab)^{-n} b \cdot \tilde{1}) = \zeta^{-1}((ab)^{-n} \cdot \tilde{1}) = -n$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. According to Remark 5.4, for $x \in B$, we also have $x \cdot n = \pm n$, depending on the value of n.

Since $H(q)$ is generated by $(ab)^q$ and B, it follows that $H(q) \cdot 0 = q\mathbb{Z} \subsetneq \mathbb{Z} = G \cdot 0$. Therefore, $H(q) \neq G$. □

6. Projections of proper dense subgroups

In this section, we show that for suitable self-replicating groups G, the projection of a proper dense subgroup to any vertex is also a proper dense subgroup. These results are generalizations of Lemmas 4.1 and 4.4 of [26] to a larger class of branch groups which includes Šunić groups.

Remark 6.1. Let G be any group and $M \leq G$ a dense subgroup in the profinite topology. Then $M \cap H$ is dense in the profinite topology of H whenever H is a finite index subgroup of G.

This reduces to observing that every basic open set in the profinite topology of H contains a basic open set in the profinite topology of G. To wit, if $K \lhd H$ has finite index, then it also has finite index in G (but is not necessarily normal); and its normal core in G is a normal subgroup of finite index in G contained in K.

Lemma 6.2. *If G is a self-replicating group of automorphisms of a regular tree and $M \leq G$ is dense in the profinite topology, then*

1. *M has the same action as G on all truncated trees and in particular on all levels of the tree;*
2. *$M_u \leq G_u$ is dense for every $u \in T$.*

Proof. The first item follows by observing that the action of M on a truncated tree (or indeed on level n) is given by $M/\operatorname{St}_M(n) \cong M \operatorname{St}_G(n)/\operatorname{St}_G(n) = G/\operatorname{St}_G(n)$.

The second item follows by noting that $\operatorname{St}_M(u)$ is dense in $\operatorname{St}_G(u)$ for every $u \in T$ (by the above remark). Since $\varphi_u : \operatorname{St}_G(u) \to G_u$ is onto, the correspondence theorem yields that $M_u N_u = G_u$ for any $N_u \lhd G_u$ of finite index. □

Proposition 6.3. *Let G be a just infinite branch group, which is self-replicating and acts regularly and primitively on the first level of the tree (that is, as a cyclic group of prime order p). If $M < G$ is a proper dense subgroup of G then so is $M_u < G_u = G$ for every vertex $u \in T$.*

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that $M_u = G_u = G$ for some $u \in T$ and suppose furthermore that u is of smallest level possible. Then, by Lemma 6.2, we can assume that $u \in L_1$. Let R denote the restriction of $\mathrm{rist}_M(u)$ to T_u. Then $R \lhd M_u = G_u = G$. Since G is just infinite, either R has finite index in G or it is trivial. If the former holds, then $\mathrm{rist}_M(v)_v$ has finite index in $G_v = G$ for every $v \in L_1$ and, in particular, $\prod_{L_1} \mathrm{rist}_M(v)$ has finite index in G, which contradicts the fact that M has infinite index in G. Thus $R = 1$ and therefore $\mathrm{rist}_M(v) = 1$ for every $v \in L_1$.

We claim that $\psi(\mathrm{St}_M(1))$ is a diagonal subgroup of $G \times \cdots \times G$. The fact that G (and therefore M, by Lemma 6.2) acts regularly on L_1 implies that $\mathrm{St}_M(v) = \mathrm{St}_M(1)$ for every $v \in L_1$ and therefore $\psi(\mathrm{St}_M(1))$ is subdirect in $G \times \cdots \times G$, as $\mathrm{St}_M(1)_v = \mathrm{St}_M(v)_v = M_v = G$ for every $v \in L_1$. Supposing that for any proper subset $V \subset L_1$

$$M \cap \prod_{v \in V} \mathrm{rist}(v) = M \cap \prod_{v \in V} \mathrm{Aut}\, T = \ker(M \to \prod_{L_1 \setminus V} \mathrm{Aut}\, T) = 1 \tag{3}$$

then successive applications of Goursat's lemma show that

$$\psi(\mathrm{St}_M(1)) = \{(g, \alpha_2(g), \ldots, \alpha_p(g)) \mid g \in G, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_p \in \mathrm{Aut}\, G\}. \tag{4}$$

To show that 3 holds, we proceed by induction on the size of $V \subset L_1$. The base case $|V| = 1$ having been shown above, assume that 3 holds for all subsets of L_1 of size at most $n \in \{1, \ldots, p-2\}$ and let $V \subset L_1$ have size $n+1$. Suppose for a contradiction that $K := M \cap \prod_{v \in V} \mathrm{rist}(v) \neq 1$, so there exists $v \in V$ such that $K_v \neq 1$. Since $K_v \lhd \mathrm{St}_M(1)_v = G_v = G$ and G is just infinite, K_v is of finite index in G. Now, because M acts primitively on L_1, no non-trivial partition of L_1 is preserved by M and, in particular, there exists $m \in M$ such that $0 < |V \cap V^m| < |V|$. Thus

$$[K, K^m] \leq M \cap \prod_{v \in V \cap V^m} \mathrm{rist}(v) = 1$$

by inductive hypothesis and K_v commutes with $(K^m)_{v^m} \lhd \mathrm{St}_M(1)_{v^m} = G$. Therefore the intersection $K_v \cap (K^m)_{v^m}$ is an abelian normal subgroup of finite index in G, which contradicts the assumption that G is a branch group (as these cannot be virtually abelian). Thus $K = 1$ and the claim follows by induction.

As stated above, this claim allows us to use Goursat's lemma successively to conclude 4. Now, since G is a branch group, $\mathrm{rist}_G(v)$ is non-trivial for every $v \in T$ and therefore $\mathrm{rist}_G(v)_v$ is a non-trivial normal subgroup of $\mathrm{St}_G(1)_v = G$ for every $v \in L_1$, which implies that it is of finite index.

Since the action of G on the first level is cyclic of order p and G is self-replicating, for each n, the quotient $G/\mathrm{St}_G(n)$ is a subgroup of the iterated wreath product $C_p \wr \cdots \wr C_p$ of C_p with itself n times. In particular, G is residually nilpotent and therefore $\mathrm{rist}_G(v)_v$ is not contained in the kth term $\gamma_k(G)$ of the lower central series of G, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $g \in \mathrm{rist}_G(v)$ with $\psi(g) = (r, 1, \ldots, 1)$ and $r \in \mathrm{rist}_G(v)_v \setminus \gamma_k(G)$. Now, since G is just infinite, $N := G \cap \psi^{-1}(\gamma_k(G) \times \cdots \times \gamma_k(G))$ has finite index in G and as M is dense, $MN = G$. This means that $g = mn$ for some $m \in \mathrm{St}_M(1), n \in N$. We thus have

$$\psi(g) = (r, 1, \ldots, 1) = (m_1 n_1, \alpha_2(m_1) n_2, \ldots, \alpha_p(m_1) n_p)$$

where $n_1, \ldots, n_p \in \gamma_k(G)$. But this implies that $\alpha_i(m_1) \in \gamma_k(G)$ for all i, hence m_1 must be in $\gamma_k(G)$, making r an element of $\gamma_k(G)$, a contradiction. □

7. Finitely generated maximal subgroups of infinite index

Here we prove that the proper subgroups $H(q)$ of Section 5 are in fact maximal when q is an odd prime. The strategy is to show, using an argument about length reduction, that for any $g \notin H(q)$, there exists a vertex v such that the projection of $\langle H(q), g\rangle$ is not proper. This, in turn, implies that $\langle H(q), g\rangle$ cannot be proper by Proposition 6.3.

We need some auxiliary results; in particular, the fact that there is a homomorphism ϕ which is a section of the projection $\varphi_1\colon \mathrm{St}_G(1) \to G$.

Assumption From now on, G will denote a group in $\mathcal{G}_{2,m}$.

7.1. A section of φ_1.

Our aim in this subsection is to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 7.1. *There exists a unique homomorphism $\phi\colon G \to \mathrm{St}_G(1)$ such that*

$$\phi(a) = aca$$
$$\phi(x) = \rho^{-1}(x)$$

for all $x \in B$.

If such a homomorphism exists, then it is clearly unique. Thus, it suffices to show the existence, which we will do in several steps.

From Lemma 2.16 we obtain the following.

Lemma 7.2. *Let $g \in \mathrm{St}_G(1)$ be such that $\psi(g) = (g_1, 1)$ with $g_1 \in \langle a, d\rangle \leq G$. Then, $g = 1$.*

Proof. This is a straightforward consequence of Proposition 2.18: if g, g_1 are as in the statement then $g \in \mathrm{rist}_G(0)$ and $g_1 \in \varphi_0(\mathrm{rist}_G(0)) \cap \langle a, d\rangle = \overline{B_1} \cap \langle a, d\rangle = 1$. Thus $g = 1$ as ψ is injective. □

Since G is generated by A and B, there exists a normal subgroup $N \trianglelefteq A * B$ such that $G = (A * B)/N$. Let $\pi_G\colon A * B \to G$ be the projection. Since $\mathrm{St}_G(1)$ is generated by $\{x, axa \mid x \in B\}$, the preimage $S := \pi_G^{-1}(\mathrm{St}_G(1))$ in $A*B$ is generated by $\{x, axa \mid x \in B\}$. Let $\Psi\colon S \to (A * B) \times (A * B)$ be the homomorphism defined by

$$\Psi(x) = (\omega(x), \rho(x))$$
$$\Psi(axa) = (\rho(x), \omega(x))$$

for all $x \in B$.

Defining $\pi_{G\times G} := \pi_G \times \pi_G$, we have $\pi_{G\times G} \circ \Psi = \psi \circ \pi_G$, as the images of the generators of S by each of the maps coincide.

Proof of Proposition 7.1. Let $\Phi\colon A * B \to S$ be the homomorphism defined by

$$\Phi(a) = aca$$
$$\Phi(x) = \rho^{-1}(x)$$

for all $x \in B$.

In order to show that $\phi := \pi_G \circ \Phi \circ \pi_G^{-1}$ is a well-defined homomorphism, it suffices to show that $\Phi(N) \leq N$.

A direct computation shows that every element w of A and B satisfies $\Psi(\Phi(w)) = (w', w)$ with $w' \in \langle a, d\rangle \leq A * B$. Therefore every element w of $A * B$ satisfies the relation too. So if $w \in N$ then there exists $w' \in \langle a, d\rangle \leq A * B$ such that $\Psi(\Phi(w)) = (w', w)$. Hence,

$$\pi_{G\times G}(\Psi(\Phi(w))) = (\pi_G(w'), 1)$$

with $\pi_G(w') \in \langle a, d\rangle \leq G$. Therefore, since $\pi_{G\times G} \circ \Psi = \psi \circ \pi_G$, we get

$$\psi(\pi_G(\Phi(w))) = (\pi_G(w'), 1)$$

with $\pi_G(w') \in \langle a, d\rangle \leq G$. It follows from Lemma 7.2 that $\pi_G(\Phi(w)) = 1$. □

Remark 7.3. For all $g \in G$, we have $\psi(\phi(g)) = (g', g)$ for some $g' \in \langle a, d\rangle \leq G$. Hence, ϕ is a section of the projection on the second coordinate.

Proposition 7.4. *Let $g \in G$. If there exists $g_1 \in \mathrm{St}_G(1)$ such that $\psi(g_1) = (1, g)$, then $g_1 = \phi(g)$.*

Proof. We have $\psi(\phi(g)) = (x, g)$, with $x \in \langle a, b\rangle$. Therefore, $\psi(\phi(g)g_1^{-1}) = (x, 1)$. It follows from Lemma 7.2 that $\phi(g) = g_1$. □

7.2. **Finitely generated maximal subgroups of infinite index.** We will use this homomorphism ϕ to show that the subgroups from Theorem 5.1 are indeed maximal. We start with some more auxiliary results.

Assumption Henceforth G will denote a group in $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_{2,m}$ with $m \geq 2$ and b will denote the element of B such that $\psi(b) = (a, b)$. Unless stated otherwise, $q \geq 3$ will be a fixed odd integer (not necessarily prime) and $H := H(q) = \langle (ab)^q, B\rangle$.

Lemma 7.5. *Consider the following subgroups of G:*

$$\Delta_b = \langle a, d^{(ab)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}}\rangle, \qquad \Delta_d = \langle a, d^{(ad)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}}\rangle.$$

There is a unique isomorphism $f\colon \Delta_b \to \Delta_d$ such that $f(a) = a$ and $f(d^{(ab)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}}) = d^{(ad)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}}$.

Proof. Let $D_{2\cdot 4} = \langle x, y \mid x^2 = y^2 = (xy)^4 = 1\rangle$ be the dihedral group with 8 elements. For all $g \in G$, we have

$$a^2 = (d^g)^2 = 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\psi((ad^g)^4) &= \psi(ad^g ad^g)^2\\ &= (\rho(d)^{g'}, \rho(d)^{g'})^2\\ &= (1, 1)\end{aligned}$$

(for some $g' \in G$), which means that $(ad^g)^4 = 1$. It follows that there are unique homomorphisms $g_b\colon D_{2\cdot 4} \to \Delta_b$ and $g_d\colon D_{2\cdot 4\to\Delta_d}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}g_b(x) &= a\\ g_b(y) &= d^{(ab)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}}\\ g_d(x) &= a\\ g_d(y) &= d^{(ad)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}}.\end{aligned}$$

These homomorphisms are clearly surjective, and a direct computation shows that they are injective. Therefore, we have the isomorphism $f = g_d \circ g_b^{-1}$. □

Lemma 7.6. *The stabilizer $\mathrm{St}_H(1)$ is generated by $\{x, x^{a(ba)^{q-1}} \mid x \in B\}$*

Proof. Clearly, $\{x, x^{a(ba)^{q-1}} \mid x \in B\}$ generates a subgroup of $\mathrm{St}_H(1)$. On the other hand, if $h \in \mathrm{St}_H(1)$, then it can be written as a product $h = h_1 h_2 \dots h_n$, with $h_i \in \{a(ba)^{q-1}\} \cup B$ (since this set generates H). To act trivially on the first level, this product must contain an even number of a, and therefore an even number of $a(ba)^{q-1}$. Since $(a(ba)^{q-1})^{-1} = a(ba)^{q-1}$, this implies that h is indeed in the subgroup generated by $\{x, x^{a(ba)^{q-1}} \mid x \in B\}$. □

Proposition 7.7. *We have* $\psi(\mathrm{St}_H(1)) \leq H^{(ab)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}} \times H$. *Furthermore, the projection of* $\psi(\mathrm{St}_H(1))$ *on each factor is surjective.*

Proof. For all $x \in B$, we have

$$\psi(x) = (\omega(x), \rho(x)).$$

If $\omega(x) = 1$, then $\omega(x)$ is clearly in $H^{(ab)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}}$. Otherwise,

$$\omega(x) = a = (ba)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}(ab)^q b(ab)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \in H^{(ab)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}}.$$

Since $\rho(x) \in B \subset H$, we therefore have that $\psi(x) \in H^{(ab)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}} \times H$. Similarly,

$$\psi(x^{a(ba)^{q-1}}) = (\rho(x)^{(ab)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}}, \omega(x)^{(ba)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}}) \in H^{(ab)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}} \times H.$$

The first result then follows from the fact that $\mathrm{St}_H(1)$ is generated by the elements of B and their conjugates by $a(ba)^{q-1}$.

Now, for all $x \in B$, we have $\rho^{-1}(x) \in \mathrm{St}_H(1)$ with $\psi(\rho^{-1}(x)) = (\omega(\rho^{-1}(x)), x)$. Since we also have $(ab)^{2q} \in \mathrm{St}_H(1)$ with $\psi((ab)^{2q}) = ((ba)^q, (ab)^q)$, we see that the projection of $\psi(\mathrm{St}_H(1))$ on the second factor is surjective. To see that the projection on the first factor is also surjective, it suffices to notice that for all $h \in \mathrm{St}_H(1)$ with $\psi(h) = (h_1, h_2)$, we have $h^{a(ba)^{q-1}} \in \mathrm{St}_H(1)$ with $\psi(h^{a(ba)^{q-1}}) = (h_2^{(ab)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}}, h_1^{(ba)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}})$. □

Proposition 7.8. *If there exists* $g \in \mathrm{St}_G(1)$ *such that* $\psi(g) = (1, h)$ *for some* $h \in H$, *then* $g \in \mathrm{St}_H(1)$.

Proof. Since $h \in H$, there exist $h_1, h_2 \ldots, h_n \in \{a(ba)^{q-1}\} \cup B$ such that

$$h = h_1 h_2 \ldots h_n.$$

For $1 \leq i \leq n$, define

$$\tilde{h}_i := \begin{cases} \rho^{-1}(h_i) & \text{if } h_i \in B \\ c^{a(ba)^{q-1}} & \text{if } h_i = a(ba)^{q-1} \end{cases}$$

and $\tilde{h} = \tilde{h}_1 \tilde{h}_2 \ldots \tilde{h}_n$. Each of the terms in the product is in $\mathrm{St}_H(1)$, therefore so is $\tilde{h}$. Notice that $\psi(\tilde{h_i}) = (x_i, h_i)$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, where $x_i \in \{1, a, d^{(ab)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}}\}$. Therefore, writing $x = x_1 x_2 \ldots x_n$, we have

$$\psi(\tilde{h}) = (x, h).$$

On the other hand, by direct computation, we see that $\psi(\phi(h_i)) = (f(x_i), h_i)$, where f is the isomorphism of Lemma 7.5. Since ϕ is a homomorphism (by Proposition 7.1), we have $\phi(h) = \phi(h_1)\phi(h_2)\ldots\phi(h_n)$. Hence,

$$\psi(\phi(h)) = (f(x), h).$$

However, by Proposition 7.4, since $\psi(g) = (1, h)$, we must have $g = \phi(h)$. Hence, $f(x) = 1$. Since f is an isomorphism, this means that $x = 1$. Therefore,

$$\psi(\tilde{h}) = (1, h) = \psi(g).$$

This implies that $g = \tilde{h} \in \mathrm{St}_H(1)$. □

Corollary 7.9. *If* $g \in \mathrm{St}_G(1)$ *is such that* $\psi(g) = (g_1, g_2)$ *with* $g_1 \in H^{(ab)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}}$ *and* $g_2 \in H$, *then* $g \in \mathrm{St}_H(1)$.

Proof. By Proposition 7.7, there exists $h \in \mathrm{St}_H(1)$ such that $\psi(h) = (g_1, h_2)$ for some $h_2 \in H$. Hence,

$$\psi(gh^{-1}) = (1, g_2 h_2^{-1}).$$

By Proposition 7.8, this means that $gh^{-1} \in \mathrm{St}_H(1)$, so $g \in \mathrm{St}_H(1)$. □

Notation. For any $g \in \mathrm{St}_G(1)$, we will write

$$\lambda(g) = \min\left\{l(g') | \ g = \gamma g' \delta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathrm{St}_{\langle a,b\rangle}(1), g' \in \mathrm{St}_G(1)\right\},$$

where $l(g')$ is the length of g' with respect to the generating set $\{a, B\}$.

Lemma 7.10 (Main technical lemma)**.** *If $K \leq G$ contains $H = H(q)$ properly then there exists a vertex $v \in T$ such that $K_v = \langle (ab)^d, B\rangle = H(d)$ for some proper divisor d of q.*

Proof. Let $g \in K \setminus H$. Since we are interested in the subgroup of K generated by g and H, we may replace g by $ga(ba)^{q-1}$ if necessary, to assume that $g \in \mathrm{St}_K(1)$. Writing $g = \gamma g' \delta$ with $\gamma, \delta \in \mathrm{St}_{\langle a,b\rangle}(1)$ and $g' \in \mathrm{St}_G(1)$ such that $\lambda(g) = l(g')$, we have

$$\psi(g) = (\gamma_0 g'_0 \delta_0, \gamma_1 g'_1 \delta_1)$$

where $\psi(\gamma) = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1), \psi(g') = (g'_0, g'_1), \psi(\delta) = (\delta_0, \delta_1)$. Note that $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \delta_0, \delta_1 \in \langle a, b\rangle$ as $\delta, \gamma \in \mathrm{St}_{\langle a,b\rangle}(1)$.

We wish to replace K by K_1 and g by a suitable element in $K_1 \setminus (H_1 = H)$ of shorter λ-length. By Corollary 7.9, at least one of $(ab)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \gamma_0 g'_0 \delta_0 (ba)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}$ and $\gamma_1 g'_1 \delta_1$ is not in H. If the latter holds, we continue to the next step. If only the former holds then note that $g^{a(ba)^{q-1}}$ is also in $\mathrm{St}_K(1) \setminus H$ and $\varphi_0(g^{a(ba)^{q-1}}) = (ab)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \gamma_0 g'_0 \delta_0 (ba)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}$. So choosing the appropriate element, we obtain some $\zeta g'' \eta \in K_0 \setminus H$ with $\zeta, \eta \in \langle a, b\rangle$ and

$$l(g'') \leq \frac{l(g') + 1}{2} = \frac{\lambda(g) + 1}{2}.$$

We may assume that $g'' \in \mathrm{St}_G(1)$ at the expense of increasing $l(g'')$ by one: If $g'' \notin \mathrm{St}_G(1)$, write $\zeta g'' \eta = \zeta(g''a)(a\eta)$, noticing that $(g''a) \in \mathrm{St}_G(1)$ with $l(g''a) = l(g'') + 1$ and $a\eta \in \langle a, b\rangle$. We can also suppose without loss of generality that $\zeta, \eta \in \mathrm{St}_{\langle a,b\rangle}(1)$ by left- or right-multiplying by $a(ba)^{k-1}$ if necessary.

We have obtained an element $\tilde{g} = \zeta g'' \eta \in \mathrm{St}_{K_0}(1) \setminus H$ with $\lambda(\tilde{g}) \leq \frac{\lambda(g)+3}{2}$.

Thus in order to show that K_v contains $H(d)$ for some $v \in T$ and some proper divisor d of q, it suffices to prove this claim with K replaced by $\langle g, H\rangle$ for any $g \in \mathrm{St}_G(1) \setminus H$ with $\lambda(g) \leq 3$, which we do in the following paragraphs. If this containment is proper, we repeat the whole procedure with a new element outside $H(d)$ to obtain that $K_{v'}$ contains $H(d')$ for some proper divisor d' of d and some descendant $v' \in T$ of v. Since q only has finitely many divisors, we will eventually find some $w \in T$ such that either $K_w = H(e)$ for some proper divisor e of q or $K_w \geq H(1) = G$, but this implies that $K_w = G = H(1)$. The theorem then follows.

The case $\lambda(g) = 0$: In this case, $g \in \langle a, b\rangle$. We can therefore assume that $g = (ab)^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ (multiplying on the left or on the right by $b \in H$ if necessary). Since k cannot be a multiple of q, there exist $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $ik + jq = d$, the greatest common divisor of k and q. Hence $(ab)^d = (ab)^{ij}(ab)^{jq} \in \langle H, g\rangle$ and $\langle H, g\rangle \geq H(d)$.

The case $g = (ab)^{-k} x (ab)^k$ for $x \in B$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$: Conjugating by an appropriate power of $(ab)^q$ if necessary, we can assume that $k > 0$. Note that k cannot be a multiple of q as $g \notin H$.

If k is even, we conjugate g by $(ab)^q$ so that we can suppose that $g = (ba)^l x (ab)^l$ for some positive odd integer l which is not a multiple of q. Then

$$\psi(g) = ((ab)^{\frac{l-1}{2}} a\rho(x) a (ba)^{\frac{l-1}{2}}, (ba)^{\frac{l-1}{2}} b\omega(x) b (ab)^{\frac{l-1}{2}}).$$

If $\omega(x) = a$, then the second coordinate in the above expression is $(ba)^l b$, so that $(\langle g, H\rangle)_1$ contains $(ab)^l$ and therefore also $H(d)$ where $d < q$ is the greatest common divisor of q and l, by the same argument as in the previous case. If $\omega(x) = 1$, then consider $(ba)^q g(ab)^q$ instead of g. Its image under φ_1 is $(ba)^{\frac{q+l}{2}}\rho(x)(ab)^{\frac{q+l}{2}}$ where $\frac{q+l}{2}$ cannot be a multiple of q (this is guaranteed by Corollary 7.9). Since $H_1 = H$, we may take $(ba)^{\frac{q+l}{2}}\rho(x)(ab)^{\frac{q+l}{2}}$ as our new g and repeat this case. By Proposition 2 of [29], there exists some $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\omega(\rho^j(x)) = a$ so that, by repeating the above procedure j times we find some $v \in T$ such that $(\langle g, H\rangle)_v \geq H(d)$, for some proper divisor d of q.

The case $\lambda(g) = 1$: The fact that $g = \gamma g'\delta$ with $\gamma, \delta \in \mathrm{St}_{\langle a,b\rangle}(1)$ and $g' \in \mathrm{St}_G(1)$ with $l(g') = 1$ immediately implies that $g' \in B$, $\gamma = [a](ba)^{k_1'}[b]$ and $\delta = [b](ab)^{k_2'}[a]$ for some $k_1', k_2' \in \mathbb{Z}$ (where the brackets mean that an element might not be present). Multiplying γ by b on the left and δ by b on the right if necessary and using the fact that $\gamma, \delta \in \mathrm{St}_G(1)$, we can assume that $\gamma = (ba)^{2k_1}[b]$ and $\delta = [b](ab)^{2k_2}$, so $g = (ba)^{2k_1}[b]g'[b](ab)^{2k_2}$, that is,

$$g = (ba)^{2k_1}x(ab)^{2k_2} \tag{5}$$

for some $x \in B$ and $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

If $\omega(x) = a$ then consider $(ba)^q g(ab)^q \in \langle g, H\rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_1((ba)^q g(ab)^q) &= (ba)^{\frac{q+k_1-1}{2}} b\omega(x)b(ab)^{\frac{q+k_1-1}{2}}\\ &= (ba)^{\frac{q+k_1-1}{2}} bab(ab)^{\frac{q+k_1-1}{2}}\\ &= (ba)^{\frac{q+k_1-1}{2}+1}(ba)^{\frac{q+k_1-1}{2}} b = (ba)^{k_1+k_2}b.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\langle g, H\rangle_1$ contains $(ba)^{k_1+k_2}$. If k_1+k_2 is not a multiple of q then this projection also contains $(ab)^d$ where $d < q$ is the greatest common divisor of q and $k_1 + k_2$ and therefore it contains the whole subgroup $H(d)$.

If $k + 1 + k_2 = nq$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $g = (ba)^{2nq-2k_2}x(ab)^{2k_2}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}b(ba)^{-2q}g &= b(ba)^{-2k_2}x(ab)^{2k_2}\\ &= b(ab)^{2k_2}x(ab)^{2k_2}\\ &= b(ab)^{2k_2-1}abx(ab)^{2k_2}\\ &= (ba)^{2k_2}(bx)(ab)^{2k_2}.\end{aligned}$$

This is a previously considered case, so we know that $(\langle g, H\rangle)_v \geq H(d)$ for some $v \in T$ and a proper divisor d of q.

If $\omega(x) = 1$, then $\varphi_1(g) = (ba)^{k_1}\rho(x)(ab)^{k_2} \notin H$ can be made of the same form as 5 by multiplying on the left and right by an appropriate power of $(ab)^q$. We can therefore repeat the argument explained above. This will terminate after a finite number of repetitions as there is some $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\omega(\rho^j(x)) = a$.

This finishes the case $\lambda(g) = 1$.

The case $\lambda(g) = 2$: Elements in G of length 2 are of the form ax or xa for some $x \in B$. None of these elements are in $\mathrm{St}_G(1)$, so there are no g such that $\lambda(g) = 2$.

The case $\lambda(g) = 3$: If g' is of length 3 in the minimal decomposition $g = \gamma g'\delta$, then $g' = axa$ or $g' = yaz$ for some $x, y, z \in B$. However, $yaz \notin \mathrm{St}_G(1)$, so this case is impossible. Hence, $g' = axa$, so $g = \gamma axa\delta$ with $\gamma, \delta \in \mathrm{St}_{\langle a,b\rangle}(1)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}(ab)^{-q}g(ab)^q &= (ab)^{-q}\gamma axa\delta(ab)^q\\ &= ((ab)^{-q}\gamma a)x(a\delta(ab)^q)\\ &= \gamma' x\delta'\end{aligned}$$

with $\gamma' = (ab)^{-q}\gamma, \delta' = a\delta(ab)^q \in \mathrm{St}_{\langle a,b\rangle}(1)$. This means that $l((ab)^{-q}g(ab)^q) \leq 1$, so from what we have already shown, we conclude that there exist $v \in T$ and a proper divisor d of q such that $\varphi_v(\langle g, H\rangle) \geq H(d)$. □

Theorem 7.11. *For every odd prime q the subgroup $H(q) < G$ is maximal.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.3, and the general properties of Šunić groups quoted in Section 2, G satisfies all the hypotheses of Proposition 6.3. Therefore if $M < G$ is a proper subgroup, dense in the profinite topology, then so is $M_v < G_v = G$ for every $v \in T$. Fix an odd prime q and denote $H(q)$ by H. Since H is dense in the profinite topology, so is $\langle g, H\rangle$ for any $g \in G \setminus H$. Now, Lemma 7.10 states that there exists a vertex $v \in T$ such that $(\langle g, H\rangle)_v \geq H(d)$ where d is a proper divisor of q. In other words, $(\langle g, H\rangle)_v \geq H(1) = G$ and therefore $\langle g, H\rangle = G$. □

8. Countably many maximal subgroups of infinite index

In this section, we show that groups $G \in \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_{2,m}$ have exactly countably many maximal subgroups of infinite index. First, we show that for any proper and dense subgroup $M \leq G$, there exists a vertex such that the projection of M on this vertex is one of the dense subgroups of Corollary 4.2. Then, we show that there are at most countably many maximal subgroups of infinite index projecting to a particular subgroup on a particular vertex. Since there are only countably many choices for the vertices and the subgroups, this implies that there are only countably many choices for M.

It would be very interesting to know whether among these countably many maximal subgroups of infinite index there are some which are not conjugate to any $H(q)$.

Lemma 8.1. *If $z \in G'$, then $\varphi_0(z) \equiv \varphi_1(z) \equiv x$ modulo G', where $x \in B_1 \cup abB_1$.*

Proof. We have that G' is generated by conjugates of $[a, y]$ with $y \in B$. Since

$$\psi([a, y]) = (\rho(y)\omega(y), \omega(y)\rho(y)),$$

we have $\varphi_0([a, y]) \equiv \varphi_1([a, y])$ modulo G'. If $y \in B_0$, we have $\varphi_1([a, y]) = \rho(y) \in B_1$. If $y \notin B_0$, then $y' = by \in B_0$ and $y = by'$, so $\varphi_1([a, y]) = \varphi_1([a, by']) = ab\rho(y') \in abB_1$.

Since conjugating $[a, y]$ by an element of g conjugates and possibly permutes the projections $\varphi_0([a, y])$ and $\varphi_1([a, y])$, the result is true for the generators of G'. Hence, since $(B_1 \cup abB_1)\, G'$ is a subgroup of G/G', the result is also true for any $z \in G'$. □

Let $\Theta\colon G' \to G'$ be the map defined by $\Theta(z) = a\varphi_0(z)a\varphi_1(z)$, where $z \in G'$.

Lemma 8.2. *The map Θ is well-defined.*

Proof. Since $z \in G'$, it follows from Lemma 8.1 that $z_1 z_2 \in G'$. Therefore, $az_1az_2 \in G'$. □

Notation. For $z \in G$, let $|z|$ be the B-length of z, that is the number of B-letters in the smallest word representing z in the alphabet a, B.

For any $z \in \mathrm{St}_G(1)$, we have $|z| \geq |\varphi_0(z)| + |\varphi_1(z)|$. It follows that $|\Theta(z)| \leq |z|$.

Lemma 8.3. *Let $z \in G'$ be such that $|\Theta^n(z)| = |z|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $|z| \geq 3$, then there exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in B \cup \{1\}$ such that*

$$z = axa(ba)^{2l}x.$$

Otherwise, either $z = 1$ or $|z| = 2$ and there exists $x \in B$ such that $z = axax$ or $z = xaxa$.

Proof. Let us first consider the case where $|z| \leq 2$. Since $z \in G'$, $|z| = 0$ implies that $z = 1$, and $|z| = 1$ is impossible. For $|z| = 2$, we must have $z = axax$ or $z = xaxa$.

Let us now assume that $|z| = k$ with $k \geq 3$. Then there exist $x_1, \dots x_k \in B$ such that z can be written in one of four forms:

$$\begin{array}{llll} (1)\ x_1 a \dots a x_k & \text{or} & (2)\ a x_1 \dots x_k a \text{ if } k \text{ is odd,} \\ (3)\ a x_1 \dots a x_k & \text{or} & (4)\ x_1 a \dots x_k a \text{ if } k \text{ is even.} \end{array}$$

If z is of form (1) then

$$\psi(z) = (\omega(x_1)\rho(x_2)\dots\omega(x_k), \rho(x_1)\omega(x_2)\dots\rho(x_k))$$

so

$$\Theta(z) = a\omega(x_1)\rho(x_2)\dots\omega(x_k)a\rho(x_1)\omega(x_2)\dots\rho(x_k).$$

Since $|\Theta(z)| = |z|$, no cancellation occurs in the expression for $\Theta(z)$, except possibly the term $a\omega(x_1)$. This means that $\omega(x_k) = 1$ and $\omega(x_i) = a$ for $1 < i < k$. We must also have $a\omega(x_1) = 1$ because $\Theta(z) \in \mathrm{St}(1)$ and so a must occur an even number of times in the expression for $\Theta(z)$. Hence $\Theta(z)$ is of the same form as z. Then, since $|\Theta^n(z)| = |z|$ for all n, by induction we obtain that $\rho^n(x_k) \in \ker\omega$ for all n, which implies that x_k is trivial. So form (1) cannot occur.

If z is of form (2), noticing that this is a conjugate of form (1) by a, we obtain that

$$\Theta(z) = a\rho(x_1)\omega(x_2)\dots\rho(x_k)a\omega(x_1)\rho(x_2)\dots\omega(x_k).$$

Similar arguments as in case (1) show that x_1 is trivial, another contradiction.

Form (3) yields

$$\Theta(z) = a\rho(x_1)\omega(x_2)\dots\omega(x_k)a\omega(x_1)\rho(x_2)\dots\rho(x_k).$$

Again, $|\Theta(z)| = |z|$ implies that there is no cancellation and therefore $\omega(x_k) = \omega(x_1)$ and $\Theta(z)$ is of the same form as z. Since $|\Theta^n(z)| = |z|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain by induction that $\omega(\rho^n(x_i)) = a$ for $1 < i < k$ and $\omega(\rho^n(x_1)) = \omega(\rho^n(x_k))$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This means that $x_i = b$ for $1 < i < k$ and $x_1 = x_k = x \in B$. So $z = axa(ba)^{2l}x$ where $2l = k - 2$, for some $x \in B$.

If z is of form (4) then

$$\Theta(z) = a\omega(x_1)\rho(x_2)\dots\rho(x_k)a\rho(x_1)\omega(x_2)\dots\omega(x_k).$$

To avoid length reduction and cancellation we must have $\omega(x_i) = a$ for $1 < i < k$ and $\Theta(z) \in \mathrm{St}(1)$ implies that $\omega(x_1) = \omega(x_k)$. If $\omega(x_1) = \omega(x_k) = 1$ then $\Theta(z)$ is of form (3) and so $\Theta(z) = axa(ba)^{k-2}x$. This means that $\rho(x_1) = b$ and so $\omega(x_1) = a$, a contradiction. So $\omega(x_1) = \omega(x_k) = a$ and therefore $\Theta(z)$ has the same form as z. Repeating the argument for $\Theta(z)$ instead of z, we obtain that $\omega(\rho(x_2)) = \omega(\rho(x_{k-1})) = a$ and $\omega(\rho(x_i)) = a$ also for all the other i. Hence, by induction, $\omega(\rho^n(x_i)) = a$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In other words, $z = (ba)^{2l}$ where $k = 2l$. □

Lemma 8.4. *Let $H \leq G$ be a dense subgroup. Then, there exists an odd $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and a vertex $v \in T$ such that the projection of $\mathrm{St}_H(v)$ contains $(ab)^k$.*

Proof. Since H is dense, there exists $z \in G'$ such that $baz \in H$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1((baz)^2) &= b\varphi_0(z)a\varphi_1(z) \\ &= ba\Theta(z), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\varphi_1^n((baz)^{2^n}) = ba\Theta^n(z)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\{|\Theta^n(z)|\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a decreasing sequence, it must eventually become constant. Hence, it follows from Lemma 8.3 that there exist $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in$

$B \cup \{1\}$ and a vertex $v \in T$ such that the projection of $\mathrm{St}_H(v)$ contains either $ba(axa(ba)^{2l}x)$ or $ba(xaxa)$.

Let us consider the case where the projection of $\mathrm{St}_H(v)$ contains $ba(axa(ba)^{2l}x)$. We have

$$\varphi_0((baaxa(ba)^{2l}x)^2) = \varphi_0(x(ba)^{4l+2}x)$$
$$= \omega(x)(ab)^{2l+1}\omega(x) = \begin{cases}(ba)^{2l+1} & \text{if } \omega(x) = a\\ (ab)^{2l+1} & \text{if } \omega(x) = 1.\end{cases}$$

If the projection of $\mathrm{St}_H(v)$ contains instead $ba(xaxa)$, then either $x = b$, in which case we obtain the desired result, or $x \neq b$. In the latter case, we can assume without loss of generality that $\omega(x) = 1$. Indeed, if $\omega(x) = a$, we have

$$\varphi_1((baxaxa)^2) = ba\rho(x)a\rho(x)a$$

so we can project until we obtain an element in the kernel of ω. Then, we have

$$\varphi_0((baxaxa)^2) = ab.$$

□

Lemma 8.5. *Let $H \leq G$ be a dense subgroup. Then, there exist $\alpha \in B \setminus \{1\}$ and a vertex $v \in T$ such that α is contained in the projection of $\mathrm{St}_H(v)$.*

Proof. For $x \in B \setminus \{1\}$ and $z \in G'$, we have

$$\psi(xz) = (\omega(x)\varphi_0(z), \rho(x)\varphi_1(z)).$$

By Lemma 8.1, there exists $y \in B_1$ such that either $\varphi_0(z) \equiv_{G'} \varphi_1(z) \equiv_{G'} y$ or $\varphi_0(z) \equiv_{G'} \varphi_1(z) \equiv_{G'} aby$.

Let us suppose for the moment that $x \notin B_0$. Then $\omega(x) = a$ and $\rho(x) \notin B_1$. Since $\rho(x) \notin B_1$, we have $\rho(x)y \neq 1$. Hence, if $\varphi_1(z) \equiv_{G'} y$, there exists $z' \in G'$ such that

$$\varphi_1(xz) = x'z'$$

where $x' = \rho(x)y \in B \setminus \{1\}$. Since $|\varphi_1(xz)| \leq \frac{|xz|+1}{2}$, we have $|x'z'| \leq \frac{|xz|+1}{2}$. Likewise, if $\varphi_1(z) \equiv_{G'} aby$, since $b \notin B_1$, we have $by \neq 1$, so there exists $z' \in G'$ such that

$$\varphi_1(xz) = x'z'$$

where $x' = by \in B \setminus \{1\}$, and we have $|x'z'| \leq \frac{|xz|+1}{2}$.

If instead we have $x \in B_0$, then $\omega(x) = 1$ and $\rho(x) \in B_1$. In the case where $\varphi_0(z) \equiv_{G'} y$, we must consider two cases. If $y \neq 1$, then there exists $z' \in G'$ such that

$$\varphi_0(xz) = x'z'.$$

where $x' = y \in B \setminus \{1\}$. If $y = 1$, then there exists $z' \in G'$ such that

$$\varphi_1(xz) = x'z'.$$

where $x' = \rho(x) \in B \setminus \{1\}$. In both cases, we have $|x'z'| \leq \frac{|xz|+1}{2}$.

In the case where $\varphi_0(z) \equiv_{G'} aby$, we must once again consider two cases. If $y \neq 1$, then there exists $z'' \in G'$ such that

$$\varphi_0(xz) = aby'z''$$

where $y' = y$. If $y = 1$, then there exists $z'' \in G'$ such that

$$\varphi_0(xz) = aby'z''$$

where $y' = \rho(x) \in B_1 \setminus \{1\}$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_0((aby'z'')^2) &= b\rho(y')\varphi_1(z'')a\omega(y')\varphi_0(z'')\\ &= a\omega(y')b\rho(y')z'''\end{aligned}$$

where $z''' \in G'$. Since $b \notin B_0$ and $\rho(b) = b$, we have that $b\rho^i(y') \neq 1$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and since $y' \neq 1$, we know that there exists $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\omega(\rho^i(y')) = a$. Hence, repeating this procedure of squaring and taking the projection as often as necessary, we eventually obtain an element of the form $x'z'$ with $z' \in G'$ and $x' \in B \setminus \{1\}$. Since for all $g \in G$, we have $|\varphi_0(g^2)| \leq |g|$, we also get that $|x'z'| \leq \frac{|xz|+1}{2}$.

Therefore, we have just shown that in all cases, there is a vertex $v \in T$ and elements $x' \in B \setminus \{1\}$, $z' \in G'$ such that $x'z'$ is in the projection of $\mathrm{St}_H(v)$, with $|x'z'| \leq \frac{|xz|+1}{2}$. Hence, by repeating this process as necessary, we can assume that $|x'z'| \leq 1$, which is equivalent to saying that $x'z' \in B \cup aBa$. Since $x' \neq 1$, we must have that $x'z' \neq 1$, so $x'z' \in (B \cup aBa) \setminus \{1\}$. If $x'z' \in B$, we are done, otherwise $\varphi_0(x'z') \in B \setminus \{1\}$ finishes the proof. □

Lemma 8.6. *Let $H \leq G$ be a subgroup that contains $(ab)^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, $(ab)^k \in H_v$ for all $v \in T$, where H_v denotes the projection of $\mathrm{St}_H(v)$.*

Proof. We have

$$\psi((ab)^k(ab)^k) = ((ba)^k, (ab)^k),$$

so the result is true for the vertices of the first level, and hence for any vertex by induction. □

Lemma 8.7. *Let $H \leq G$ be a dense subgroup. Then, there exist a vertex $v \in T$ and an odd $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the projection of $\mathrm{St}_H(v)$ contains b and $(ab)^k$.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 8.4 that there exist a vertex $w \in T$ and an odd $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(ab)^k \in H_w$, where H_w is the projection of $\mathrm{St}_H(w)$. Since H is dense in G, H_w is also dense in G by 6.2, Lemma 8.5 implies that there exist a vertex $w' \in T$ and $\alpha \in B \setminus \{1\}$ such that $\alpha \in H_{w'}$. By Lemma 8.6, we also have $(ab)^k \in H_{w'}$. Since $\varphi_1(\alpha) = \rho(\alpha)$, there exist a vertex $w'' \in T$ and $\beta \in B \setminus B_0$ such that $\beta, (ab)^k \in H_{w''}$.

Since $\beta \notin \ker(\omega)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_1((ab)^k\beta(ab)^k) &= (ab)^{\frac{k-1}{2}}aab(ab)^{\frac{k-1}{2}}\\ &= (ab)^{\frac{k-1}{2}}(ba)^{\frac{k-1}{2}}b\\ &= b.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $b, (ab)^k \in H_v$, where $v = w''1$. □

Proposition 8.8. *Let $H < G$ be a proper dense subgroup. Then, there exist a vertex $v \in T$ and an odd $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $H_v = \langle (ab)^l, B\rangle$, where H_v is the projection of $\mathrm{St}_H(v)$.*

Proof. According to Lemma 8.7, there exists a vertex $w \in T$ and an odd $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $b, (ab)^k \in H_w$.

Let us write $B = \{1, b_1, b_2, \dots b_{2^m-1}\}$. Since H is dense in G, H_w is also dense in G. Therefore, for every $b_i \in B$, there exists $z_i \in G'$ such that $b_iaz_i \in H_w$. This implies that $\rho(b_i)a\Theta(z_i) \in H_{w1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 2^m - 1$. Indeed, if $b_i \notin \ker\omega$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_1((b_iaz_i)^2) &= \rho(b_i)\varphi_0(z_i)a\varphi_1(z_i)\\ &= \rho(b_i)a\Theta(z_i)\end{aligned}$$

and if $b_i \in \ker\omega$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_1(b_i a z_i b b_i a z_i) &= \rho(b_i)\varphi_0(z_i)a\varphi_1(z_i)\\ &= \rho(b_i)a\Theta(z_i).\end{aligned}$$

Since H_{w1} also contains b and $(ab)^k$, we conclude by induction, with the help of Lemma 8.3, that there exist a vertex $w' \in T$, elements $x_i \in B$ and integers $l_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(ab)^k, b_i a z_i' \in H_{w'}$, where $z_i' = a x_i a (ba)^{2l_i} x_i$ (for $1 \le i \le 2^m - 1$).

We compute that

$$\psi(z_i') = (\rho(x_i)(ab)^l\omega(x_i), \omega(x_i)(ba)^l\rho(x_i)).$$

If $b_i \in \ker\omega$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_1((b_i a z_i')^2) &= \rho(b_i)\varphi_0(z_i')\varphi_1(z_i')\\ &= \rho(b_i)\rho(x_i)(ab)^l\omega(x_i)\omega(x_i)(ba)^l\rho(x_i)\\ &= \rho(b_i)\end{aligned}$$

and if $b_i \notin \ker\omega$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_1(b_i a z_i' b b_i a z_i') &= \rho(b_i)\varphi_0(z_i')\varphi_1(z_i')\\ &= \rho(b_i)\rho(x_i)(ab)^l\omega(x_i)\omega(x_i)(ba)^l\rho(x_i)\\ &= \rho(b_i).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we see that $(ab)^k, B \in H_{v'}$ with $v' = w'1$. Since H is proper and dense, $H_{v'}$ is also proper and dense. It follows from Lemma 7.10 that there exists a vertex $v \in T$ and an odd $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $H_v = \langle (ab)^l, B\rangle$. □

Lemma 8.9. *Let $M < G$ be a maximal subgroup, $H < G$ be a proper subgroup and $v \in L_n$ be a vertex of level n. If M acts transitively on L_n and $\varphi_v(\mathrm{St}_M(v)) = H$, then for each $w \in L_n$ there exists a conjugate H^w of H such that*

$$\mathrm{St}_M(n) = \bigcap_{w\in L_n} \left(\varphi_w^{-1}(H^w) \cap G\right).$$

Proof. Since M acts transitively on L_n, for each $w \in L_n$ there exists $m \in M$ which takes v to w. So, writing m_v for the vth coordinate in the wreath decomposition $\psi_n(m) = \sigma(\dots, m_v, \dots)$ of m, we have

$$H^w := \varphi_w(\mathrm{St}_M(w)) = \varphi_w(m\,\mathrm{St}_M(v)m^{-1}) = m_v H m_v^{-1}.$$

Define

$$K := \bigcap_{w\in L_n} \left(\varphi_w^{-1}(H^w) \cap G\right) = \bigcap_{w\in L_n} \{g \in \mathrm{St}_G(w) \mid \varphi_w(g) \in H^w\}$$

and note that $\mathrm{St}_M(n) \le K \le \mathrm{St}_G(n)$. Suppose for a contradiction that there exists $g \in K \setminus \mathrm{St}_M(n)$. This g must in fact lie outside of M because it is contained in $\mathrm{St}_G(n)$ and then the maximality of M implies that $\langle M, g\rangle = G$. In particular, $\varphi_w(\langle M, g\rangle \cap \mathrm{St}(n)) = G$ for every $w \in L_n$. We will obtain our desired contradiction by showing that $\varphi_w(\alpha) \in H^w < G$ for each $w \in L_n$ and $\alpha \in \langle M, g\rangle \cap \mathrm{St}(n)$. Fix some such w and α and assume that $\varphi_w(mgm^{-1}) \in H^w$ for every $m \in M$ (as will be shown below). Now, α can be written as

$$\alpha = m_1 g m_2 g \dots m_k g = (\mu_1 g \mu_1^{-1})(\mu_2 g \mu_2^{-1}) \dots (\mu_k g \mu_k^{-1})\mu_k$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $m_i \in M$ and $\mu_i = \prod_{j=1}^{i} m_j$. Note that $\alpha \in \mathrm{St}(w)$ implies that $\mu_k \in \mathrm{St}_M(w)$ and therefore $\varphi_w(\mu_k) \in H^w$. Thus $\varphi_w(\alpha)$ is a product of elements of H^w, as required.

To see, as was claimed, that $\varphi_w(mgm^{-1}) \in H^w$ for every $m \in M$, fix some $m \in M$ and suppose that it maps u to w. By definition of K and the fact that

$\varphi_u(\mathrm{St}_M(u)) = H^u$, there exists $h \in \mathrm{St}_M(u)$ such that $\varphi_u(g) = h_u \in H^u$, with the notation used at the start of the proof. Writing $m = \sigma m'$ with $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}(L_n)$ and $m' \in \mathrm{St}(n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_w(mgm^{-1}) &= \varphi_u(m'gm'^{-1}) = \varphi_u(m')\varphi_u(g)\varphi_u(m'^{-1}) \\ &= \varphi_u(m')\varphi_u(h)\varphi_u(m'^{-1}) = \varphi_u(m'hm'^{-1}) = \varphi_w(mhm^{-1}).\end{aligned}$$

But the last term above is in H^w by definition because $h \in \mathrm{St}_M(u)$ and m is an element of M mappping u to w. □

Theorem 8.10. *There are $\aleph_0$ maximal subgroups of infinite index in G.*

Proof. We already know that there are at least countably many maximal subgroups of infinite index in G, so it suffices to show that there are at most countably many as well.

It follows from Proposition 8.8 that if $M < G$ is a maximal subgroup of infinite index, there exist an odd $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and a vertex $v \in T$ such that $M_v = H(k)$, where $H(k) = \langle (ab)^k, B\rangle$. Therefore, we have

$$\mathcal{MI} = \bigcup_{v\in T}\bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{MI}_{v,k}$$

where $\mathcal{MI}$ is the set of all maximal subgroups of infinite index of G and $\mathcal{MI}_{v,k}$ is the set of all maximal subgroups of infinite index of G such that the projection of the stabilizer of the vertex v is $H(k)$. Since a countable union of countable sets is countable, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{MI}_{v,k}$ is a countable set.

For any $M \in \mathcal{MI}_{v,k}$, we have from Lemma 8.9 that for each $w \in L_n$ there exists a conjugate $H(k)^w$ of $H(k)$ such that

$$\mathrm{St}_M(n) = \bigcap_{w\in L_n} \left(\varphi_w^{-1}(H(k)^w) \cap G\right),$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is the level on which v lies. Since G is a countable set, there are only countably many choices for each H^w, and since L_n is finite, there are only countably many possibilities for $\mathrm{St}_M(n)$. Since $\mathrm{St}_M(n)$ is of finite index in M, this implies that there are only countably many groups in $\mathcal{MI}_{v,k}$. □

References

[1] T. Alexoudas, B. Klopsch, and A. Thillaisundaram. Maximal subgroups of multi-edge spinal groups. *Groups Geometry Dynamics*, 10:619–648, 2016.

[2] L. Bartholdi and F. Pochon. On growth and torsion of groups. *Groups Geom. Dyn.*, 3(4):525–539, 2009.

[3] L. Bartholdi and Z. Šunić. On the word and period growth of some groups of tree automorphisms. *Comm. Algebra*, 29(11):4923–4964, 2001.

[4] I. V. Bondarenko. Finite generation of iterated wreath products. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 95(4):301–308, 2010.

[5] K. Bou-Rabee, P.-H. Leemann, and T. Nagnibeda. Weakly maximal subgroups in regular branch groups. *Journal of Algebra*, 455:347 – 357, 2016.

[6] Y. de Cornulier. Finitely presented wreath products and double coset decompositions. *Geom. Dedicata*, 122:89–108, 2006.

[7] A. Erschler. Boundary behavior for groups of subexponential growth. *Ann. Math. (2)*, 160(3):1183–1210, 2005.

[8] M. Ershov and A. Jaikin-Zapirain. Groups of positive weighted deficiency and their applications. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 677:71–134, 2013.

[9] J. Fabrykowski and N. Gupta. On groups with sub-exponential growth functions. *J. Indian Math. Soc., New Ser.*, 49(3-4):249–256, 1987.

[10] J. Fabrykowski and N. Gupta. On groups with sub-exponential growth functions. II. *J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 56(1-4):217–228, 1991.

[11] G.-A. Fernandez-Alcober, A. Garrido, and J. Uria-Albizuri. On the congruence subgroup property for ggs-groups. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 2016. To appear. Available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1604.03465.

[12] A. Garrido. Abstract commensurability and the Gupta–Sidki group. *Groups, Geometry and Dynamics*, 10:523–543, 2016.
[13] T. Gelander and Y. Glasner. Countable primitive groups. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 17(5):1479–1523, 2008.
[14] R. Grigorchuk. Solved and unsolved problems around one group. In *Infinite groups: geometric, combinatorial and dynamical aspects*, volume 248 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 117–218. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2005.
[15] R. I. Grigorchuk. Degrees of growth of finitely generated groups and the theory of invariant means. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 48(5):939–985, 1984.
[16] R. I. Grigorchuk. Just infinite branch groups. In M. Sautoy, D. Segal, and A. Shalev, editors, *New Horizons in pro-p Groups*, volume 184 of *Progress in Mathematics*, pages 121–179. Birkhäuser, Boston, 2000.
[17] R. I. Grigorchuk and J. S. Wilson. A structural property concerning abstract commensurability of subgroups. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 68(3):671–682, 2003.
[18] N. Gupta and S. Sidki. On the Burnside problem for periodic groups. *Math. Z.*, 182(3):385–388, 1983.
[19] P. Hall. On the finiteness of certain soluble groups. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 9:595–622, 1959.
[20] B. Klopsch and A. Thillaisundaram. Maximal subgroups and irreducible representations of generalised multi-edge spinal groups. *Preprint http://arXiv.org/abs/1605.02907*, 2016.
[21] G. Margulis and G. Soifer. Maximal subgroups of infinite index in finitely generated linear groups. *Journal of Algebra*, 69(1):1 – 23, 1981.
[22] A. Myropolska. The class $\mathcal{MN}$ of groups in which all maximal subgroups are normal. *Preprint, http://arxiv.org/abs/1509.08090*, 2015.
[23] V. Nekrashevych. Iterated monodromy groups. In *Groups St Andrews 2009 in Bath. Volume 1*, volume 387 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 41–93. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2011.
[24] B. H. Neumann. Some remarks on infinite groups. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 12:120–127, 1937.
[25] P. M. Neumann. Some questions of Edjvet and Pride about infinite groups. *Illinois J. Math.*, 30(2):301–316, 1986.
[26] E. L. Pervova. Maximal subgroups of some non locally finite p-groups. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 15(5-6):1129–1150, 2005.
[27] D. Savchuk. Some graphs related to Thompson's group F. In O. Bogopolski, I. Bumagin, O. Kharlampovich, and E. Ventura, editors, *Combinatorial and Geometric Group Theory: Dortmund and Ottawa-Montreal Conferences*, pages 279–296. Birkhäuser Basel, 2010.
[28] D. Segal. The finite images of finitely generated groups. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 82(3):597–613, 2001.
[29] Z. Šunić. Hausdorff dimension in a family of self-similar groups. *Geom. Dedicata*, 124:213–236, 2007.
[30] J. S. Wilson. On exponential growth and uniformly exponential growth for groups. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 155(2):287–303, 2004.

Section de mathématiques, Université de Genève, 2-4 rue du Lièvre, Case postale 64, 1211 Genève 4, Switzerland
E-mail address: dominik.francoeur@unige.ch

Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, Mathematisches Institut, Universitätsstr. 1, 40225, Düsseldorf, Germany
E-mail address: alejandra.garrido@uni-duesseldorf.de